

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Gilsenan, Michael [DEPNET1/DEPGPO2/MichaelGi]
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2002 11:00 AM
To: 'Chapin, Diana'
Cc: 'Avaltroni, Robert'; 'Radhakrishnan, Krish'
Subject: RE: EPA Task Force and Working Group meetings

The only remaining issue is the release form and letter that BEC would like to send to bldg. owners, before starting the work. Krish and Russell Pecunies are meeting today to go over Legal's changes. We would like to have these items finished so that we can present them at each mtg. Once the release form and letter are finished BEC will start sch. the meeting.

-----Original Message-----

From: Chapin, Diana
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2002 5:45 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Cc: Avaltroni, Robert; Sturcken, Charles
Subject: RE: EPA Task Force and Working Group meetings

I think BEC should set the meetings unless you feel you need help. What issues remain?

-----Original Message-----

From: Gilsenan, Michael
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2002 1:04 PM
To: Chapin, Diana
Cc: Avaltroni, Robert; Sturcken, Charles 001
Subject: RE: EPA Task Force and Working Group meetings

Thanks for the information. Should Environmental Compliance make the meetings or would you prefer some other office setting them up? Also, should we wait until the Law Department and Legal vet out some of the issues they have raised? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Chapin, Diana
Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2002 5:00 PM
To: 'Maldonado, Jane'
Cc: Sturcken, Charles; Lanaghan, Mark; Avaltroni, Robert; Gilsenan, Michael; Kusterbeck, William
Subject: RE: EPA Task Force and Working Group meetings

Charlie's Office and mine have contacts and phone numbers for REBNY, BOMA, ABO, Lower Manhattan, etc. (so does Betsy Collins/Kusterbeck re water and sewer rates notices to interested owners). I doubt if there is much utility to OEM acting as a conduit to them since the agency talks to these groups much more frequently, unless it is a group we don't have knowledge of or a contact for in which case they could help.

-----Original Message-----

From: Maldonado, Jane
Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2002 4:56 PM
To: Chapin, Diana
Cc: Avaltroni, Robert; Hoffer, Mark; Hoffer, Mark 001; Sturcken, Charles; Sturcken, Charles 001
Subject: EPA Task Force and Working Group meetings

I attended the NYC Task Force meeting on Wednesday, March 27 and the EPA Air Working Group meeting on Thursday, March 28. The following are highlights of both meetings.

- At the NYC Task Force I reported that DEP had received approximately 50 referrals from the WTC hotline and 43 had been resolved. In all cases where an inspection was made, no visible debris/dust was observed where a sample could be taken. In addition, I stated that since Senator Clinton's hearing, DEP responded to approximately 100 complaints via DEPHelp and, in 99 cases no

visible debris or dust was observed. In the one case where DEP was able to take samples, the sample came back positive and DEP verbally requested a cleanup and the landlord complies. As I write this, DEP has received 58 referrals from the WTC Hotline; 46 have been resolved and 12 are in progress.

- Parks released testing information and cleanup of said parks; only one park tested positive.
- DCAS will be sharing with us, as well as EPA's Indoor Air Working Group, the test results that they have on 20 City-owned buildings as well as 40 leased City buildings. They will add to their report the same fields as we have in our Private Owners testing results report so that City Task Force and EPA Working Group can use and plot the information as needed for their studies.
- DEP's Private Owners testing report was shared with DOITT's contractor, Plan Graphics; DCAS' Sylvia Price; and Dore LaPosta from EPA. Before releasing said information, Lai-Sun and Steven Levi from NYC Law gave the okay to share it among the groups. Law's position was that health and safety should be the groups number one concern. They noted that everyone involved should keep their files in good order since they expect many claims in the future. The next meeting of the Legal group is Wednesday, 10:00 AM, at HPD. I also mentioned to Lai and Steve that DEP was working on sending out a letter to buildings owners, in which DEP identified as having debris, to allow contractors access to the property so that it may be cleaned up. I told them that Mark had the letter and had some issues with our draft. Mark for your information, Asbestos has another draft of said letter including Russell's comments. Asbestos will forward it to you on Monday.
- I reported at both meetings about DEP's progress in securing the contracts for the exterior cleaning of buildings. Bottom line is if everything falls into place, we can begin sometime during the week of April 8. We still need to speak to some of the Real Estate organizations and I asked OEM to help in setting those meetings. I'm not clear if OEM was going to help although they indicated they could. However, to be safe, maybe we should reach out ourselves with help of the Public Outreach group (Laurel Blatchford). Laurel left the meeting early before I could get to speak to her. We already have a meeting scheduled with REBY for next Thursday.
- All group members were impressed with our progress especially EPA who asked me to thank Diana and Bob personally for all that DEP has done.
- Staff members are meeting today, Thursday 28, with FEMA and the Landmark Preservation people to go over cleanup procedures. Landmark wants to be clear in their minds that cleanup procedures will not be damaging to buildings (i.e., using acid washes - which we don't).
- DOH approached me today asking for DEP's comment on the action levels being proposed for cleanup of asbestos. We have not seen the proposed action levels and DOH will e-mail me with the particulars. I will share with the technical staff as well as have the technical staff listen in to Monday's conference call of the Chemicals of Concern Working Group. DOH believes that EPA is going down the road of abating lots of apartments downtown. I gather from my conversation

that they are well below current standards. More to follow as we get the data, however, we do need to decide what our comments should be, if any.

- I also spoke to OEM and Jim Miller regarding the Hotline having a list of buildings that presently need to be cleaned. I told them that we would prefer that the Hotline call us with any inquiries of same because the list is very fluid. We are constantly adding and deleting as information becomes available to us. In addition, cleanup by owners has occurred without our knowledge. Giving out outdated or the wrong information is the worst that can happen. We would like to be as prudent as possible.
- DEP was asked by EPA if we have any ambient air data on asbestos from any project or study that we have done or know about in the past. They would like this information to establish background levels. I told them we would check.
- EPA is waiting for the City to identify a building or buildings that could be used for its Pilot cleanup project. Jim Miller contacted OEM who reported that they should have something by the end of today.
- The EPA Working Group also had some discussion of the need for peer review of the process. The discussion went back and forth on the pros and cons. No decision was one way or the other.
- OSHA also distributed sampling information (not for public release) regarding workers working in the WTC area.
- All samples, bulk and air, for asbestos were non detect.
-

That's the major points as of now. I'm sure more will be coming.