

EPA Indoor Air Working Group Meeting 3/21/02

1. Update on EPA's Task Force Meeting on 3/11/02

- provided update to members on workgroup activities
- discussed EPA's proposal to FEMA
- discussed interaction of EPA and NYC task forces
 - will stay separate for near term

2. Update on NYC's Lower Manhattan Air Quality Task Force

- definition of areas of responsibility of 2 task forces
- EPA's group requested to focus activity on:
 - identifying chemicals of concern and providing rationale for why some chemicals excluded
 - identifying benchmarks and screening criteria
 - characterizing risk and recommending approaches to deal with risk scenarios such as cleaning, sampling and testing
- discussion of NYC's efforts to develop a proposal to FEMA

3. Update on Activities of Chemicals of Concern/Benchmarks Sub-Group

- presentation of activities to date
- discussion of specific options being considered
- discussion of folding Sub-Group activities into Working Group
- development of timeline for completion

4. Next Steps and Scheduling of Next Meeting

EPA Indoor Air Working Group Meeting 3/28/02

1. Discussion of Contaminants-of-Concern/Workgroup Activities
2. Plan for EPA Pilot Clean-up
3. ATSDR Study - Next steps
4. Status of DEP efforts for building cleanup

Tiers for indoor air settled dust

5. Discussion of need for peer review

~~3rd Tier~~
Bldg materials
Asbestos
~~Fiberglass~~ Fibrous glass
Silica
Refractory glass
Ceramic
1st Tier

Combustion Bi Products
Dioxin
Lead
PAH's

2nd Tier
Gypsum
lime
Calcite
Portlandite

Not to be included with a report
stating as to why
PCP's
PBDE's (fio)
Chromine
Cadium
Mercury
Benzene
mold

3 Tiers approach

- 1) need to do more though Action
- 2) middle intermediate
~~cleaner~~ level (no immediate action)
clean up
- 3) cleaner level no recomm

* Can we find out if DEP has any ambient air data for orberton Archer levels background James Park Data SI

Bd EPA said DEP did sampling for orberton ambient in all boroughs

Backgrounds $\Rightarrow$ From anywhere ~~on~~ a spread sheet to be distributed

Science Panel (Peer group) $\Rightarrow$ EPA to establish a Peer group $\rightarrow$ has a contract meet to undertake this work.

Review Panel is determined that it is needed (1) good idea

(2) takes more time

$\leftarrow$
for criteria