

SAMPLE OF INITIAL WTC INSPECTIONS

World Trade Center Emergency Response Inspections conducted on September 23, 2001 – Carlstien Lutchmedial

310 Greenwich St – Met with Superintendent Vincent Hernandez (212) 962-3530. He said that the building did not get any of the debris from the WTC incident. We were unable to get into any of the apartments with windows. Inspection of the roof revealed that it was free of any suspect material. I was able to look into the terraces of the south side of the building from the roof and did not see any suspect debris. Also the surrounding yard of the building did not have any suspect material.

100 Church Street – At the building I met Frederick B. Maier and John Leonard of LVI Environmental Services Inc and Joseph Cianci of Ambient Group. Cianci said that he was currently sampling each floor and taking ten bulk samples per floor. They said they were there to provide the owner with a bid for the clean up. LVI said there plan was to put the entire building under negative air and do the cleanup. I also spoke to Marc Stein {(212) 971 0111 xt 177} the building owner. He said that he was presently accepting bids from various contractors. He estimates that the clean-up project should not take more than three weeks.

217 Broadway – I met Scott Lawler the Property Manager. He said that at this time the contractor AA Services did not get approval to bring their truck into the area so the cleanup did not start. AA is first scheduled to do the roof then the interior of the building.

222 Broadway – Building locked.

90 West Street/140 Cedar Street/21 Albany Street- Met with James Hall of Trade Winds Environmental Restoration Inc. he informed me that they were hired to pump out the water from the basement of the building. This building did not have power at this time. The water was being pumped into the sewer.

40 Rector Street – I met Joe Kelleher the Property Manager. At this time PAL was on site cleaning the roof and changing HVAC filters. He said that they were awaiting the insurance adjusters to evaluate the damage and hopefully Monday September 23, 2001 the clean up can begin.

33 Maiden Lane – the Chief Engineer Walter Sheering was on site. He took me to the 5th floor where I met Kenneth W. Houseman of Quest – 3rd party air monitor. Houseman informed me that they were currently working on the second floor. We proceeded to the second floor where I observed workers HEPA and wet wiping surfaces. The windows on the floor 2, 3, & 5 are sealed windows. Houseman explained that the cleaning they were doing were to err on the safe side because of the positive sample obtained. This sample ~~most likely came from debris that was tracked into the building. I did not see any suspect debris of any of the surfaces.~~

World Trade Center Emergency Response
Inspections conducted on September 24, 2001 – Carlstien Lutchmedial

100 Church Street – At the building I met two workers who identified themselves as employees sub contracted by Global Crossing (telephone Company) to run a generator to keep their switching gear in operation. They said that the room they occupied on the first floor was cleaned by their company. Also that the owner made them sign a waiver of all liabilities before they could occupy the space. I was unable to locate any building rep on site.

99 Church Street – on site was Robert Ryan and Tadeusz Lukasik handler supervisor # 80809 of Pinnacle Environmental Corp. they said that they were doing a complete interior cleaning of all 11 floors. They had already completed the exterior of the building. A worker decon was set up on the first floor. Inspection revealed that most of the floors were completed. ATC was on site conducting air monitoring. Ryan was informed to send the final clearance to DEP.

90 Church Street – met Postal Police officer Bellamy in front of the building. He said that there was no one in the building. I went into the lobby and noticed that power had not been restored to this building. Bellamy said that from time to time a crew comes in and removes mails from the building.

222 Broadway – Clean-up already completed at this building.

170 Broadway - Clean-up already completed at this building.

88 Greenwich/19 Rector St. – Clean-up of exterior being done by Pinnacle Environmental. Work is expected to be completed today.

Battery Park -South Tower next to American Express Building clean in progress.

40 Rector Street – Met with Joe Attanasio of Milro Restoration (516) 379-6100. He said they were hired by the owner to clean the entire building. His company was not an asbestos company. No air monitoring was being done. At this time they had just started work on the 8th floor.

World Trade Center Emergency Response

Inspections conducted on September 25, 2001 – Carlstien Lutchmedial

100 Church Street – Pecunies, Rogak and myself met the Chief Engineer. He said that they were still taking bids for the job. We inspected the 2nd floor and observed that it was in they same condition as last week. He did not know when the clean up would start.

40 Rector Street – Met with Joe Kelleher. He said that the building was without power because Con Ed was in the process of converting from temporary power to permanent power. This would take all day. Inspection of some of the floors revealed that there was no power. I also spoke to Scott Furman the attorney for the building. He said that they have done TEM's on the lobby, 16th, and 18th floors. However, by Friday 28th, 2001 they should have completed TEM throughout the entire building. We also discussed the tracking of debris into the lobby. Furman agreed to place a heavy duty industrial carpet so that tracked debris will be removed from footwear.

4 World Financial Center- met with John Martens of Merrill Lynch Corporate services (212) 449-0370. He said that Pinnacle was hired to do the clean up and Weston to do air monitoring. No one was working at this time.

3 World Financial Center – Met with Joe Fontana the security manager. He said that the got a positive sample on the 23 floor. The other floors were negative. However, they were still doing more test. I later met with Mike Foley the environmental manager (212) 972 -0651.

2 World Financial Center – met with Wojciech Olsewski # 80793 a handler supervisor. At this time they were cleaning the lobby of the building. This had been requested by DOH because of the large amounts of rotted food that was in the cafeteria. GPS was the 3rd party air monitor on site.

90 West Street – all windows blown out. Building is unoccupied.

85 West Street – Marriott Hotel at this time LVI's, Judy A. Gilbert was on site with the Building Manager. They have planed for now, to start the clean up tomorrow. The entire building is scheduled to be cleaned as ACM contaminated. At this time they building was vacated and had no power.

53 Park Place - only a security guard was at the front desk. He was given information to pass on to management.

75 West Street – Building with major damage. Abandoned at this time.

99 Washington St. – This a parking lot with 70 cars at this time. There is heavy debris accumulations on every surface. The manager Juan Acevedo and another employee were washing the cars at this time. They are not asbestos workers. This work is being done as a regular car-wash operation.

30 West Broadway – building has some structural damage. No one in building at this time.

World Trade Center Emergency Response

ATSDR Inspections conducted on December 6, 2001 – Carlstien Lutchmedial

120 Greenwich Street – inspection of the front entrance to the lobby where a positive sample had been observed revealed that the area was now clean. No debris was observed on the metal ledges which supported the glass façade. Inspection of the roof revealed that it was also clean. No visible material observed.

250 South End Ave – inspection of the courtyard revealed that there were garden troughs with plants and trees. Among the plants visible debris was observed. In some places large chunks could be seen. The courtyard itself was very clean, except for a chunk of debris at about the middle of the yard. A sample was taken of this material. The location (west side courtyard) of the positive sample taken by ATSDR a few weeks ago was now clean. In addition, a sample was taken from the south west corner trough and the middle north end of the courtyard through. All samples were positive for ACM.

80 Battery Place – inspection revealed that the lobby was very clean. The superintendent of the building said that they had clean/vacuumed the lobby after the ATSDR sampling. The sample that had been observed here was a dust sample taken by micro vacuum.

ATSDR Inspections conducted on December 18, 2001 – Carlstien Lutchmedial

55 Liberty Street – inspection of the front of the building, sidewalk, and curb revealed that there was no suspect material. A sample of a sandy material was taken at the curb and was negative for ACM.

450 North End Ave – inspection revealed that there were numerous areas between the cracks of the tiles on the roof which had suspect material. Two samples were taken. One was positive for ACM. Clean up was done the following day.

250 South End Ave – no clean up has taken place. Owner's representative informed again of the need to clean the courtyard.

World Trade Center Emergency Response Inspections conducted on December 15, 2002– Carlstien Lutchmedial

100 Church Street – At the building I met one of the workers who were on a lunch break. She said that at this time they were doing general cleaning. All ACM related work had been completed last week.

90 Trinity Place – the school is locked at this time. A construction crew was in front the building a partition on the sidewalk enclosing the entire school front. Is first scheduled to do the roof then the interior of the building.

100 Trinity Place – ATC was on site conducting various air contaminants sampling. Except for Asbestos fibers. This they said had been done last week and results were good. They said that three samples for lead were high in the cafeteria and room 207. As a result additional cleaning was being done. Air Sampling was being done outside the building for ACM by a DEP contractor.

125 Cedar Street/ - Met with Monte Cesta of Samson Management. He said that they tenants had accepted their sampling results and they additional sampling which was agreed along with DEP sometime ago was not being done. Presently, the apartments were being cleaned as a regular cleaning job.

250 South End Ave – I met the doorman who informed me that no work has been done on the courtyard. I asked him to call Joe Piastra, which he did. However, Piastra wasn't not in and a message was left for him to call DEP. Inspection of the courtyard revealed that it was in the same condition as when the sampling was done. It was observed that the courtyard was being used by construction workers to store old windows.

PS 234 – Chambers & Greenwich St – Met Kwame Fosu of SCA. Kwame said the school was scheduled to be reopened on February 4th, 2002. All sampling for ACM was negative. The school appeared to be very clean. On the 3rd floor, plaster repair had been done to fix cracks on the walls. This had left lots of white dust in classrooms where the work had been done. Also present on site KISS construction. They were on site to clean up the dust. Kwame said the plaster material was negative for ACM.

PS 89 Chambers & Westside Hwy – school is cleaned.

World Trade Center Emergency Response Inspections at 105 Duane Street

December 11, 2001 – On arrival the porter, Joe insisted that DEP return during normal working hours. He spoke to the building manager via telephone. After about 10 minutes Joe said I will have to return the next day. He also said that the work on the duct cleaning had stopped.

December 12, 2001, 10 am – on arrival I met Mr Joel Kupferman an attorney with NY Environmental Law & Justice Project and a group of tenants outside the building. They informed me that they were concerned about the air quality in the building and that they wanted the duct cleaning work to be stopped. Inspection of the 10 floor and 2nd floor HVAC room revealed that there was no visible suspect material. Ms Lisa Bergenty a building rep said that over 250 TEM sample taken by ATC labs were negative for ACM. She also said that the tenants have the option of requesting additional testing. Presently, they had stopped the work being performed by TECH CLEAN to address the tenants concerns. That they were also willing to treat the duct cleaning as an asbestos project. This information was relayed to the tenants and Mr Kupferman.

December 12, 2001, 10:15 pm – inspection was based on a request by DEP to MS Bergenty to conduct additional sampling on the 10th & 2nd Floor HVAC room. ATC was on site conducting aggressive TEM air monitoring. Five pumps were running on the 10th & 2nd floor. Results later submitted to DEP were negative for ACM.

December 20, 2001 - The purpose of the visit was to determine whether any more sampling was required of the ventilation system. Present were Howard Bader – a consultant for the tenants association, two tenants were present, a representative of Tech Clean (duct cleaning company), ATC Labs rep, Lisa Bergenty of Relations Management (bldg. Mgt. Co.) a DOH – Peter Stallbohm, Brendan Farrell – Super. Some other helpers were present.

I requested from Mr. Bader to take us wherever he deemed appropriate to start. The 10th floor diffuser was chosen. On opening, we found that there was no visible dust. Bader also, used a portable monitor to check for respirable fibers and found none. It was then suggested by him that this might be the condition of all the other locations. As a result, no more inspection was necessary. I repeated to him that I would be happy to look at more.

One of the tenants, who was present, wanted her apartment vent inspected. This we did and found that there was minor dust accumulation, which appeared to be very old. Bader said that he might take a sample. It was agreed that the owner would treat the clean up of the duct as though it was an ACM project. However, this is on hold because of other concerns by the tenants.

DOH also agreed that there was no concern reference ACM. It was acknowledged that the owners are done more than sufficient sampling. The tenants concern was that some procedures were not being followed. Such as, the plastic covering the duct had fallen off or had holes. The tenants also had in their possession a document from EPA dated December 19, 2001, which indicated DEP methods, were inaccurate.

Mr. Kupperman (tenants attorney) met me outside the building and expressed his concern that DEP protocols were incorrect based on the EPA document.

Before leaving the site I again requested if there was any other concerns regarding ACM and was told "no." Based on my inspection there was no suspect material to be sampled.

The owner is still agreeing to do any more sampling required by the tenants. Also, it was agreed that DEP would be notified when any duct cleaning begins.

December 29, 2001 – Inspection revealed that the duct was being cleaned in the HVAC room. The risers have been closed off at the fan room. Workers were in PPE using HEPA vacuum to do the cleaning. ATC was also present conducting air monitoring. An inspection request of the vent in an apartment on the 14 floor revealed that there was no visible suspect material.