

Avaltroni, Robert

From: Gilseman, Michael
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2002 3:04 PM
To: Radhakrishnan, Krish; Theodorellys, Penny
Cc: Avaltroni, Robert; Chapin, Diana 001; Sturcken, Charles 001
Subject: FW: draft screening levels for asbestos, FG and silica in indoor air and settled dust

Krish- pls. comment on attached. I think we need to explain to Diana what this means to Asbestos in the greater scheme of things, assuming EPA makes these the standards. I don't know if EPA could establish standards(benchmarks) for WTC only.

-----Original Message-----

From: DeVoney, Danielle [mailto:dld7@cdc.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2002 1:48 PM
To: DeVoney, Danielle; 'Maddaloni.Mark@epamail.epa.gov'; 'grevatt.peter@epamail.epa.gov'; 'smith.terry@epamail.epa.gov'; Rodenbeck, Sven; 'ric07@health.state.ny.us'; 'kcarlino@health.nyc.gov'; 'laposta.dore@epamail.epa.gov'; 'david.ippolito@osha.gov'; 'dan.crane@osha.gov'; 'njeffery@health.nyc.gov'; 'girman.john@epamail.epa.gov'; 'Schaum.John@epamail.epa.gov'; 'Randi J. Walker'; 'Patricia M. Fritz'
Cc: 'Callahan.Kathy@epamail.epa.gov'; 'Salkie.Richard@epamail.epa.gov'; 'Rotola.Joe@epamail.epa.gov'; Cooper, Barbara; Lee, Carolyn V. (Virginia); Neurath, Sue; Wilder, Lynn
Subject: RE: draft screening levels for asbestos, FG and silica in indoor air and settled dust

Hi -

I ran some quick calculations for risk combining air and dust numbers, and integrated them into a screening level table. This assumes protecting for a 1/10,000 risk for one year for the upper tier, and the same for 30 years in the lower tier.

This is a first attempt on risk number, different exposure assumptions, and K values would yield slightly different results. I'm providing this as a basis for discussion. If we like the approach we can refine the risk calculations appropriately. Also, I've used a K factor of 1×10^{-7} for "daily activity" - although this works well with the math, we may want to refine that number also.

thanks,
 danielle

-----Original Message-----

From: DeVoney, Danielle
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2002 11:58 AM
To: 'Maddaloni.Mark@epamail.epa.gov'; grevatt.peter@epamail.epa.gov;



**Department of
Environmental
Protection**

59-17 Junction Boulevard
Corona, New York
11368-5107

**Joel A. Miele Sr., P.E.
Commissioner**



www.nyc.gov/dep

(718) DEP-HELP

smith.terry@epamail.epa.gov; Rodenbeck, Sven; rlc07@health.state.ny.us;
 kcarlino@health.nyc.gov; DeVoney, Danielle;
 laposta.dore@epamail.epa.gov; david.ippolito@osha.gov;
 dan.crane@osha.gov; njeffery@health.nyc.gov;
 girman.john@epamail.epa.gov; Schaum.John@epamail.epa.gov; Randi J.
 Walker; Patricia M. Fritz
 Cc: Callahan.Kathy@epamail.epa.gov; Salkie.Richard@epamail.epa.gov;
 Rotola.Joe@epamail.epa.gov
 Subject: draft screening levels for asbestos, FG and silica in indoor
 air and settled dust
 Importance: High

Hi -

Main points of discussion on these issues for today's call are outlined below. I've tried to identify the main technical issues, so we can reach consensus, or identify additional information we need to consider on each point. I have included tiered screening values for fibrous glass which take into consideration both dust and air levels. There are two versions of these screening levels which take different approaches as outlined below. I thought this would be useful for the discussion.

1) Sampling methodology for fibers. The microvac technique seems to be the most well developed method. It is a written and approved ASTM method which would give us surface loading information.

2) Use of K factors, or other techniques to extrapolate from settled dust to air screening values.

Questions:

- a) Does the group feel Millette's K factors are well enough supported to apply in this case?
- b) What other options exist? (see "Dust Ideas 1 April.wpd" below)
- c) Can K factors be applied to non-fibrous dust components?

3) What risk estimate should be used for asbestos screening values?

A straight 1 year continuous exposure scenario gives a screening values of 0.028 f/cc. However, if this is based on sampling during daily activity, it may underestimate due to episodic higher exposures.

Earlier screening level for this tier was set at 0.01 f/cc.

One option would be to use non-aggressive air sampling in conjunction with settled dust levels and modeling of activity based episodic exposures for a combined risk assessment.

4) Sampling methodology and screening values for silica. We are still working on determining a dust sampling method for silica. The sampling method should be defined prior to determining a screening value for these data.



**Department of
Environmental
Protection**

59-17 Junction Boulevard
Corona, New York
11368-5107

**Joel A. Miele Sr., P.E.
Commissioner**



www.nyc.gov/dep

(718) DEP-HELP

NYC 9/11
Public Portal
Document



AsbScreenDr1April.w
d



AsbCalcs1April.xls



**Department of
Environmental
Protection**

59-17 Junction Boulevard
Corona, New York
11368-5107

**Joel A. Miele Sr., P.E.
Commissioner**



www.nyc.gov/dep

(718) DEP-HELP

DRAFT COC Airborne Screening Levels

April 1, 2002

Asbestos - all types taken together

Level	Recommended actions	Comments
Air level: > 0.01 f/cc * Settled Dust level: > 100,000 f/cm²	Evaluate the need to remove sensitive individuals from the environment Evaluate conditions in the area including representativeness of air samples, fibrous glass dust levels and loading. Take actions to reduce exposures. - clean area - resample - ongoing cleaning	Screening value in air set at a level where short term exposures (≤ 1 year) may result in exposures which contribute to Settled dust threshold is to protect to at least a 1×10^{-4} risk estimate for a one year exposure. Air concentrations estimated for different activities using a K factors based on Millette's work on asbestos. Risk estimate is based on estimates of air levels, and duration of exposure for different activities. (100,000f/cm² corresponds to a 3×10^{-5} risk for a one year exposure)
Air level: NYC background - 0.01 f/cc * Or Settled Dust level: 10,000 f/cm² To 100,000 f/cm²	Evaluate conditions in the area including representativeness of air samples, fibrous glass dust levels and loading. If air samples were not taken, then appropriate air sampling should be conducted to determine if fiber levels are elevated. Take actions to reduce exposures. - clean area - resample - ongoing cleaning	For those areas where air levels would not warrant removal of sensitive individuals, but are above the 0.001 f/cc, continued cleaning and evaluation is prudent. Settled dust threshold is to protect to at least a 1×10^{-4} risk estimate for a 30 year exposure. Air concentrations estimated for different activities using a K factors based on Millette's work on asbestos. Risk estimate is based on estimates of air levels, and duration of exposure for different activities. (10,000f/cm² corresponds to a 1×10^{-4} risk for a 30 year exposure)
Air level: $\leq$ NYC background And Settled Dust level: $\leq$ background or 1000 f/cm²	No action required	

* Fibers with length > 5 μ m with an aspect ratio $\geq 3:1$, as defined in the ACGIH TLV.



**Department of
Environmental
Protection**

59-17 Junction Boulevard
Corona, New York
11368-5107

**Joel A. Milele Sr., P.E.
Commissioner**



www.ci.nyc.ny.us/dep

(718) DEP-HELP

Air concentrations measured by PCM, NIOSH 7400, confirmed by SEM.



**Department of
Environmental
Protection**

59-17 Junction Boulevard
Corona, New York
11368-5107

**Joel A. Miele Sr., P.E.
Commissioner**



www.ci.nyc.ny.us/dep

(718) DEP-HELP

Contribution to risk of various asbestos dust levels

Risk = Conc(f/cc) * TWF * Slope factor

TWF is weighting factor for partial exposure (less than 70 years, 24 hrs a day)

Slope factor of 0.23 f/cc used over a 70 year exposure

Low Fiber loading 1000 f/cm2

Scenario	Activity	loading f/cc	K factor	Air level	Years	days/year	hours/day	TWF	Contribution to Risk	
1 Year exposure										
	1 Daily activi	1000	1.0E-07	1.00E-04	1	350	24	0.013699	3.15E-07	
	2 Cleaning	1000	1.0E-06	1.00E-03	1	50	2	0.000163	3.75E-08	3.53E-07
30 Years exposure										
	1 Daily activi	1000	1.0E-07	1.00E-04	30	350	24	0.410959	9.45E-06	
	2 Cleaning	1000	1.0E-06	1.00E-03	30	50	2	0.004892	1.13E-06	1.06E-05
70 Years exposure										
	1 Daily activi	1000	1.0E-07	1.00E-04	70	350	24	0.958904	2.21E-05	
	2 Cleaning	1000	1.0E-06	1.00E-03	70	50	2	0.011416	2.63E-06	2.47E-05

Moderate Fiber loading, 10,000f/cm2

Scenario	Activity	loading f/cc	K factor	Air level	Years	days/year	hours/day	TWF	Contribution to Risk	
1 Year exposure										
	1 Daily activi	10000	1.0E-07	1.00E-03		1	350	24	0.013699	3.15E-06
	2 Cleaning	10000	1.0E-06	1.00E-02		1	50	2	0.000163	3.75E-07 3.53E-06
30 Years exposure										
	1 Daily activi	10000	1.0E-07	1.00E-03		30	350	24	0.410959	9.45E-05
	2 Cleaning	10000	1.0E-06	1.00E-02		30	50	2	0.004892	1.13E-05 1.06E-04
70 Years exposure										
	1 Daily activi	10000	1.0E-07	1.00E-03		70	350	24	0.958904	2.21E-04



**Department of
Environmental
Protection**

59-17 Junction Boulevard
Corona, New York
11368-5107

**Joel A. Miele Sr., P.E.
Commissioner**



www.ci.nyc.ny.us/dep

(718) DEP-HELP

2 Cleaning	10000	1.0E-06	1.00E-02	70	50	2	0.011416	2.63E-05	2.47E-04
------------	-------	---------	----------	----	----	---	----------	----------	----------

Higher fiber loading, 100,000 f/cm2

Scenario	Activity	loading f/cc	K factor	Air level	Years	days/year	hours/day	TWF	Contribution to Risk	
1 Year exposure										
	1 Daily activi	100000	1.0E-07	1.00E-02	1	350	24	0.013699	3.15E-05	
	2 Cleaning	100000	1.0E-06	1.00E-01	1	50	2	0.000163	3.75E-06	3.53E-05
30 Years exposure										
	1 Daily activi	100000	1.0E-07	1.00E-02	30	350	24	0.410959	9.45E-04	
	2 Cleaning	100000	1.0E-06	1.00E-01	30	50	2	0.004892	1.13E-04	1.06E-03
70 Years exposure										
	1 Daily activi	100000	1.0E-07	1.00E-02	70	350	24	0.958904	2.21E-03	
	2 Cleaning	100000	1.0E-06	1.00E-01	70	50	2	0.011416	2.63E-04	2.47E-03



**Department of
Environmental
Protection**

59-17 Junction Boulevard
Corona, New York
11368-5107

**Joel A. Milele Sr., P.E.
Commissioner**



www.ci.nyc.ny.us/dep

(718) DEP-HELP