

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Gerald Pryor [PII]
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2002 9:21 AM
To: [PII] callahan.kathy@epa.gov; Gilsenan, Michael
Cc: [PII]

PII

Subject: Nat@musicvalve.com; [PII] egesmer@gulges.com
 Re: Recleaning windows and fire escapes in airshaft of 125 Cedar St.

We don't believe anyone can claim the dust is "clean" unless it is tested. Kathy C and Mike G. agreed to reclean the residue from the airshaft and we're holding them to their word. The blasting of the bricks and mortar are removing particles and there are not any assurances that this dust will not be a problem. If there is residue from the firehouse then it will be another problem to deal with.

The dust from the airshaft is still there on the widow sills and fire escapes after the rainstorm and we want it removed and trust that the EPA/DEP will follow through on their promise to reclean it.

7S

----- Original Message -----

From: [PII]
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2002 5:57 am
Subject: Re: Recleaning windows and fire escapes in airshaft of 125 Cedar St.

> Hi All,
 >
 > I spoke to Mike G. yesterday. The building as of yesterday was
 > officially
 > released back to Samson while I was there they were having the
 > front door
 > locks fixed. The key you have now still works.
 > I also brought up the 114 repointing issue and Dawn's
 > conversation with
 > Kathy C., attention has been focused on a clean building 114 when
 > it should
 > be on the fire house. They are now repointing and I don't think
 > that their
 > exterior has been thoroughly cleaned so we are being sprayed with
 > "dirty"
 > dust, not like 114 which is "clean" dust. He said that he would get
 > back to
 > me. I also filled Ellen in on what was going on.

[PII]

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Smyth, Virginia
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2001 3:31 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: FW: FEMA

-----Original Message-----

From: Terraciano, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2001 12:03 PM
To: Medina, Oscar; Schneider, Michael; Smyth, Virginia; McCarthy, Anne (ex); Kyriakides, Stella; Dunne, John
Cc: Tazzi, Louis (ex); Singleton, Joseph (ex); Schneider, Susan (ex)
Subject: FEMA

This is a follow up to my e-mail of November 5, 2001. Please submit a detailed explanation of your bureau's work performed during the World Trade Center crises. FEMA is expecting it by November 16, 2001

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Smyth, Virginia [DEPNET1/DEPGPO2/VirginiaS]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2001 3:38 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: FW: FEMA

-----Original Message-----

From: Smyth, Virginia
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2001 3:31 PM
To: gilsenan, michael (ex)
Subject: FW: FEMA

-----Original Message-----

From: Terraciano, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2001 12:03 PM
To: Medina, Oscar; Schneider, Michael; Smyth, Virginia; McCarthy, Anne (ex); Kyriakides, Stella; Dunne, John
Cc: Tazzi, Louis (ex); Singleton, Joseph (ex); Schneider, Susan (ex)
Subject: FEMA

This is a follow up to my e-mail of November 5, 2001. Please submit a detailed explanation of your bureau's work performed during the World Trade Center crises. FEMA is expecting it by November 16, 2001

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Kelpin, Gerry [NYCDEP/QUEENS/GERALDINEK]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2001 5:39 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael; Smyth, Virginia
Subject: RE: FEMA

Harold and I have been involved from day 2 or 3 with the monitoring data review group that was established. In addition to reporting on the air monitoring results that DEP has been doing, we have been involved in the selection of additional air monitoring sites that EPA and DEC are running. We have also been involved in reporting on the development of an indoor sampling plan, in participating in meetings and briefing sessions that concern monitoring at and around the WTC site.

Harry Mayer and his two assistants were helping out with supporting some of the monitoring efforts for awhile. That effort was then transferred to a consultant.

Enforcement has been responding to complaints about dust emissions and idling vehicles around the site. They have been informing various entities about proper containment related to cleaning the outside of the buildings, about limiting vehicle idling and they have been observing compliance with the dust suppression procedures that have been developed. Information is being funneled to OEM and now DCAS via our DEP liasons. DEC is taking the lead on enforcement of these provisions at this time.

Engineering assisted in the permitting of the emergency generators that were used at the site.

-----Original Message-----

From: Gilsenan, Michael
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2001 3:40 PM
To: Fawzy, Moustafa; Kelpin, Gerry; Radhakrishnan, Krish; Raffo, Barbara
Subject: FW: FEMA

pls. provide V.S. with a explanation of your Div. work. Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Smyth, Virginia
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2001 3:38 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: FW: FEMA

-----Original Message-----

From: Smyth, Virginia
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2001 3:31 PM
To: gilsenan, michael (ex)
Subject: FW: FEMA

-----Original Message-----

From: Terraciano, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2001 12:03 PM
To: Medina, Oscar; Schneider, Michael; Smyth, Virginia; McCarthy, Anne (ex); Kyriakides, Stella; Dunne, John
Cc: Tazzi, Louis (ex); Singleton, Joseph (ex); Schneider, Susan (ex)
Subject: FEMA

This is a follow up to my e-mail of November 5, 2001. Please submit a detailed explanation of your bureau's work performed during the World Trade Center crises. FEMA is expecting it by November 16, 2001

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Smyth, Virginia
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2002 10:30 AM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: FW: Contractor issues

FYI

-----Original Message-----

From: Basso.Ray@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Basso.Ray@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2002 10:10 AM
To: krishr@dep.nyc.gov; virginias@dep.nyc.gov
Cc: Moyik.Cathy@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Contractor issues

Krish/Virginia, FYI we met with ATC and Trio last Thursday 11/7. They informed us that they are reluctant to submit invoices until the issues the contractor's raised in our meeting of October 17th were addressed by DEP. I told them that our offices had met on their issues on October 24th and that we were both working on addressing their issues.

On November 8th we faxed to you office (Kathy C. to Bob. A) a letter memorializing all the contractor issues from the October 17th meeting and our projected estimates for pricing issues that you believe will require change orders. Can you provide me an estimated time frame as to when you will be able to respond to the contractors. If there are issues that require longer time to resolve could they be separated out so we could get back to them on the other issues sooner ? Please let me know how you intend to proceed. Thanks