

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Dave Stanke [davestanke@cs.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2003 11:43 AM
To: Michael Gilsenan
Subject: FW: Revised DEP scope

-----Original Message-----

From: Christopher Warnick [mailto:cwarnick@cwarchitecture.com]
 Sent: Wednesday, February 12, 2003 9:01 AM
 To: Dave Stanke; Denise Sweeney; Jack Springston; Andrea Seyboth
 (E-mail); Andrew Morton (E-mail); Craig Marshall (E-mail); Daniel and
 Sonia Kaner (E-mail); James and Laura Fernandez (E-mail); Karen
 Greenspan; Makeupsonia@Earthlink. Net (E-mail); Mark Wainger (E-mail);
 Michael Gordon (E-mail); Rhoda Woo; Sarah C. Lee (E-mail); Sonia Kashuk;
 Stephan and Deborah Kerr (E-mail); Steve Kerr (E-mail); Steven Abramson
 (E-mail); Steven@12east. Com (E-mail); William Saya
 Subject: Re: Revised DEP scope

Please find the following responses to the DEP specs.

Denise, please verify insuranse;

Contractors to provide \$10,000.00 Asbestos Abatement Contractors General Liability Insurance coverage listing 114 Liberty Street Condominium and Arnold S. Warwick as additionally insured. Coverage should include property damage coverage. You may also want to consider individual Unit Owner coverage by the contractor in case of an accident. One million dollar automobile liability, Statutory Disability and Worker's Compensation Coverage to be provided by Contractor.

The following general notes should be added.

- a. The Contractor shall be responsible for securing the construction areas until the completion of the contract.
- b. All Work shall be performed carefully and neatly, in a systematic manner.
- c. Existing plumbing lines and drains are to be maintained and kept free from blockages to prevent any flooding.
- d. After removing drywall surfaces, all metal framing, conduit, wiring, piping and cabling shall be protected from any physical damage.
- e. All existing surfaces, appliances and equipment to remain shall be fully protected from damage. The contractor shall assume responsibility for damage and shall make repairs required without additional cost to the Owner(s).
- f. No debris shall be allowed to accumulate on the site. Debris shall be regularly removed by the contractor as the job proceeds. Debris removal shall be in strict accordance with applicable regulations.
- g. The Contractor shall carefully protect items indicated to be saved for re-use.

Responses to DEP Spec items:

#5. All Unit electrical panels will be converted to "house power" for the duration of this work. DEP to provide specific loads requirements ASAP. Exiting water tank will be santitized for use during the cleaning phase. DEP

to specify locations of showers ASAP.

#11. Contractor shall protect window and door frames, and doors and glass. Contractor shall assume responsibility for repair or replacement of any damaged window frames, glass, door frames or door panels.

#13. Contractor to carefully remove drywall sheeting by hand and to take care not to cause deformation to existing metal ceiling and wall framing. Protect all interior wall materials, surfaces, wires, pipes, etc. to remain.

#14. The Ambient Specs call for removal of all wood flooring after the cleaning. Is this a requirement? If so, can this be performed without re-contaminating the site? Note that there are two layers of asbestos containing tile directly under a significant portion of the hardwood floors (with the exception of the penthouse addition), and that the asbestos will become airborne during the removal of any flooring.

#16. The spray-on fireproofing is soft and loose and may disappear into the vacuum. The Contractor should be responsible for replacing any damaged spray-on fireproofing.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Dave Stanke" <davestanke@cs.com>
 To: "Christopher Warnick" <cwarnick@cwarchitecture.com>; "Denise Sweeney" <denisesweeny@hotmail.com>; "Jack Springston" <JSpringston@ambientgroup.com>; "Andrea Seyboth (E-mail)" <AndreaSeyboth@aol.com>; "Andrew Morton (E-mail)" <amorton@lehman.com>; "Craig Marshall (E-mail)" <cmarshall@phatfarm.com>; "Daniel and Sonia Kaner (E-mail)" <danielk@bumbleandbumble.com>; "David Stanke" <davestanke@cs.com>; "James and Laura Fernandez (E-mail)" <jaferrn@optonline.net>; "Karen Greenspan" <kgreenspan@rcn.com>; "Makeupsonia@Earthlink. Net (E-mail)" <makeupsonia@earthlink.net>; "Mark Wainger (E-mail)" <mark@keenzzone.com>; "Michael Gordon (E-mail)" <mgordon@bumbleandbumble.com>; "Rhoda Woo" <rwoo@deloitte.com>; "Sarah C. Lee (E-mail)" <Sarah.Lee@nyc.rabobank.com>; "Sonia Kashuk" <MakeupSonia@yahoo.com>; "Stephan and Deborah Kerr (E-mail)" <KerrTiara@aol.com>; "Steve Kerr (E-mail)" <Steve.Kerr@gs.com>; "Steven Abramson (E-mail)" <SAbramson@cmdnewyork.com>; "Steven@12east. Com (E-mail)" <steven@12east.com>; "William Saya" <wsaya@berdonllp.com>
 Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2003 12:44 PM
 Subject: RE: Revised DEP scope

> All,
 > Here are Jack Springston's comments on the DEP scope. There are a number of
 > categories of comments highlighted within the text. Some are more for our
 > consideration, and others constitute probable modifications to the scope.
 > Please review them and see if there are any make or break issues that you
 > feel must be made to the scope. Get back to me directly and quickly with
 > these so I can put them together and get back to Jack. Also, if you have
 > any questions or want to speak with Jack directly, let me know.

> Thanks,
 > Dave

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Jack Springston [mailto:JSpringston@ambientgroup.com]
 > Sent: Monday, February 10, 2003 12:51 PM
 > To: Dave Stanke; Denise Sweeney; Christopher Warnick
 > Subject: RE: Revised DEP scope
 > Importance: High
 > Sensitivity: Private
 >
 >
 > Dave,
 >
 > My comments are highlighted and in CAPS.

>
 > Jack
 >
 >
 >
 > -----Original Message-----
 > From: Dave Stanke [mailto:davestanke@cs.com]
 > Sent: Monday, February 10, 2003 2:19 AM
 > To: Denise Sweeney; Christopher Warnick; Jack Springston
 > Subject: Revised DEP scope
 >
 >
 > Jack,
 > Can you review this revised scope as soon as possible? Thanks.
 >
 > Also, you might expect a call from Dennis Sch?. He works for one of the
 > unit owners and is evaluating the need for cleaning. He does not believe
 > that the floors need to be removed. Please help him understand the level
 > of
 > contamination, and the danger.
 >
 > Thanks,
 > Dave
 >