

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Smyth, Virginia
Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2003 10:39 AM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: FW: WTC Contract Status

???

-----Original Message-----

From: Terraciano, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2003 10:27 AM
To: Smyth, Virginia
Subject: RE: WTC Contract Status

Virginia,

Thanks, a reminder FEMA has informed the city that all payments must be made by 4-30-03 (not work done) for us to get reimbursed, this includes the interior work. they are in negotiations with the city to reallocate the unspent monies, but there has been no indication on how that is going.

JoeT

-----Original Message-----

From: Smyth, Virginia
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2003 4:14 PM
To: Terraciano, Joe
Cc: Golin, Beverly; Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: WTC Contract Status

Attached is another copy of the status of the current WTC contracts. As per my conversation with Beverly this morning, all work under the Exterior Cleaning Contracts is complete. Two contractors, Kurzban and Trio have received final payments. The other two remaining just ended on March 31st. Fiber Control requires a change order in the amount of \$87,812 in order to finalize payment (Total will be \$2,578,240) and we are waiting for a final invoice from Warren & Panzer.

The air monitoring contracts with JLC (20020014002) was finalized at a total of \$331,500; and Riverpoint (20020010450) was finalized at \$348,840.

The final WTC payment for Pednault (20010021151) is currently being processed for \$165,963. The contract is multiyear and will continue providing regular laboratory services.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

See: 114 Liberty
File

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Theodorellys, Penny
Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2003 3:36 PM
To: Nace.Charles@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Gilsenan, Michael; Radhakrishnan, Krish
Subject: FW: Silica Data

Let me know where we are. I am receiving messages, I need to reply to soon. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrew Gasparro [<mailto:Agasparro@JLCEnvironmental.com>] <<mailto:Agasparro@JLCEnvironmental.com>>
Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2003 3:16 PM
To: Theodorellys, Penny
Subject: FW: Silica Data



040305286JLC.pdf

Penny,

Sorry for the delay, here are the Silica Results.

I will be dropping off the final report later this week, I will call before we come over to see you.

Thank you for all of your help on this project.

Thank you,

Andrew L. Gasparro
 Vice President
 JLC Environmental Consultants, Inc.
 200 Park Ave. S. Suite 1001
 New York, NY 10003
 212-420-8119
 212-420-6092 Fax
 917-612-4803 Cell

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Terraciano, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2003 1:31 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: FW: Expedited Payment to venders to meet FEMA's April 30th Deadline

FYI what we discussed this morning

-----Original Message-----

From: Levy, David [mailto:LevyD@omb.nyc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2003 5:34 PM
To: Terraciano, Joe
Subject: FW: Expedited Payment to venders to meet FEMA's April 30th Deadline

Any questions, let me know.

-----Original Message-----

From: Levine, Michele
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2003 2:03 PM
To: FEMA Analysts
Cc: Unit Heads; Assistant Directors; Deputy Directors; Larson, Bud; Garofalo, Jeffrey; Diaz, Mariel; Friedland, Martin at DOFLAN; Ruppel, Warren at COMLAN; Spitzer, Michael at COMLAN; Brady, Charles
Subject: RE: Expedited Payment to venders to meet FEMA's April 30th Deadline

I'm sorry, I sent that pre-maturely. I've now filled in the blank. Please forward this corrected version.

-----Original Message-----

From: Levine, Michele
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2003 1:59 PM
To: FEMA Analysts
Cc: Unit Heads; Assistant Directors; Deputy Directors; Larson, Bud; Garofalo, Jeffrey; Diaz, Mariel; Friedland, Martin at DOFLAN; Ruppel, Warren at COMLAN; Spitzer, Michael at COMLAN; Brady, Charles
Subject: Expedited Payment to venders to meet FEMA's April 30th Deadline

As you are now aware, FEMA and the City have undertaken (although still not officially agreed to) the expedited close-out process which will limit FEMA reimbursement to eligible expenses incurred **and paid for by April 30, 2003.**

Your agencies are strongly encouraged to take all actions necessary to make appropriate payments to vendors and contractors by April 30th, to the extent prudent and allowable under city rules.

Normally, once agencies enter payment vouchers into FMS, there is a delay (or aging) of up to five (5) business days prior to the issuance of a warrant (check). However, it is the date of the issuance of the warrant which FEMA will use as the payment date, and thus it must be prior to 4/30/03 for FEMA eligibility. Therefore, agencies should enter vouchers into the system ASAP.

The Comptroller's Office Bureau of Accountancy has agreed, when necessary, to manually override the automated "aging" and schedule vouchers for liquidation at the next nightly cycle. *In order to do so, agencies should send an e-mail message making the request for expedited payment and listing the relevant voucher document numbers, to Warren Ruppel, Mike Spitzer, and Eileen Moran at the Comptroller's Office.*

6/25/2003

See: 114 File
Liberty

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Theodorellys, Penny
Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2003 4:38 PM
To: 'Nace.Charles@epamail.epa.gov'
Cc: Radhakrishnan, Krish; Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: FW: Final dioxin results



R03040083 Cover
Letter.pdf



R03040083
Report.pdf



R03040083 Excel
Report.xls



R03040083 TEQ
Report.xls

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrew Gasparro [mailto:Agasparro@JLCEnvironmental.com]
 Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2003 2:41 PM
 To: Theodorellys, Penny
 Subject: FW: Final dioxin results

Penny,

Final Dioxins.

Thanks,

Andrew L. Gasparro
 Vice President
 JLC Environmental Consultants, Inc.
 200 Park Ave. S. Suite 1001
 New York, NY 10003
 212-420-8119
 212-420-6092 Fax
 917-612-4803 Cell

-----Original Message-----

From: Usbeck, Fred [mailto:FUsbeck@contactpsc.com]
 Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2003 12:48 PM
 To: Andrew Gasparro
 Subject: Final dioxin results

Andrew -

Attached are the final dioxin results (with TEQ's as required by EPA) for the second set of wipes. If you have any questions please get back to me.

thanks! Fred

<<R03040083 Cover Letter.pdf>> <<R03040083 Report.pdf>> <<R03040083 Excel Report.xls>> <<R03040083 TEQ Report.xls>>

Fred Usbeck, CIH
 PSC Analytical Services
 4418 Pottsville Pike
 Reading, PA 19605
 fusbeck@contactpsc.com
 (610) 921-8833 ext. 224

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Radhakrishnan, Krish
Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2003 4:14 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: FW: 66 john st

-----Original Message-----

From: Melendres, Janet
Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2003 4:15 PM
To: Radhakrishnan, Krish
Cc: Theodorellys, Penny
Subject: 66 john st

April 16, 2003

ECB Safety Committee
C/O R. Potasznik
66 John Street
New York, New York 10038

Dear ECB Safety Committee:

This is in response to your correspondence dated April 9, 2003. Thank you for addressing your concerns with my office.

As a result of receiving information from Department employees the Bureau of Environmental Compliance (BEC) Asbestos Control Program (ACP) and the Office of Environmental Health and Safety Compliance (OEHSC) were contacted. OEHSC provided the ACP with the result of a carpet sonication sample. The sample result was an excerpt of a report that was not received. Carpet sonication is not a New York State Department of Health approved method for the collection and analysis of asbestos-containing materials. Further, this sampling method has not been adopted as a Federal, State, or local standard.

Asbestos fibers do not pose a health hazard unless the fibers become airborne. A single direct relationship between asbestos-containing dust and potential human exposure has not been established in the scientific literature. A direct relationship between dust levels and exposure have been documented for other contaminants (such as lead) This relationship has not been documented for asbestos fibers probably due to the unique aerodynamic properties of the fibers.

After September 11, 2001, the ACP responded to calls from concerned building occupants and reviewed bulk sample results from the building owner. Site visits did not disclose the presence of visible debris within the interior of the building. According to the information in ACP files, air samples were collected within the building on September 14th, 15, and 16th, 2001. The samples were analyzed by phase contrast microscopy (PCM) and were below 0.01 f/cc (the clearance standard). Ten bulk samples were collected from exterior surfaces during early July 2002. The results indicated the debris was not asbestos-containing material. Nine additional samples were collected on November 1, 2002. The analytical results indicated the samples were not asbestos-containing material.

4/25/2003