

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Christopher D'Andrea [cdandrea@health.nyc.gov]
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2002 4:21 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: Fwd: 125 Cedar Street report


125 Cedar Street
report

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Christopher D'Andrea [cdandrea@health.nyc.gov]
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2002 3:19 PM
Cc: Jim Cone
Subject: 125 Cedar Street report



Mold Guidelines.doc




125 Cedar Street
cover.doc



125 Cedar
Street.doc

Attached is a copy of the inspection report for 125 Cedar Street. Also attached are the cover letter and NYC Guidelines on the Assessment and Remediation of Fungi in Indoor Environments.

Note to Pat: Please forward to Micheal Cook, I do not have his contact info



New York City Department of Health & Mental Hygiene
Bureau of Environmental & Occupational Disease Epidemiology

Guidelines on Assessment and Remediation of Fungi in Indoor Environments

- [Executive Summary](#)
- [Introduction](#)
- [Health Issues](#)
- [Environmental Assessment](#)
- [Remediation](#)
- [Hazard Communication](#)
- [Conclusion](#)
- [Notes and References](#)
- [Acknowledgments](#)

Executive Summary

On May 7, 1993, the New York City Department of Health (DOH), the New York City Human Resources Administration (HRA), and the Mt. Sinai Occupational Health Clinic convened an expert panel on *Stachybotrys atra* in Indoor Environments. The purpose of the panel was to develop policies for medical and environmental evaluation and intervention to address *Stachybotrys atra* (now known as *Stachybotrys chartarum* (SC)) contamination. The original guidelines were developed because of mold growth problems in several New York City buildings in the early 1990's. This document revises and expands the original guidelines to include all fungi (mold). It is based both on a review of the literature regarding fungi and on comments obtained by a review panel consisting of experts in the fields of microbiology and health sciences. It is intended for use by

building engineers and management, but is available for general distribution to anyone concerned about fungal contamination, such as environmental consultants, health professionals, or the general public.

We are expanding the guidelines to be inclusive of all fungi for several reasons:

- Many fungi (e.g., species of *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, *Fusarium*, *Trichoderma*, and *Memnoniella*) in addition to SC can produce potent mycotoxins, some of which are identical to compounds produced by SC. Mycotoxins are fungal metabolites that have been identified as toxic agents. For this reason, SC cannot be treated as uniquely toxic in indoor environments.
- People performing renovations/cleaning of widespread fungal contamination may be at risk for developing Organic Dust Toxic Syndrome (ODTS) or Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis (HP). ODTS may occur after a *single heavy* exposure to dust contaminated with fungi and produces flu-like symptoms. It differs from HP in that it is not an immune-mediated disease and does not require repeated exposures to the same causative agent. A variety of biological agents may cause ODTS including common species of fungi. HP may occur after repeated exposures to an allergen and can result in permanent lung damage.
- Fungi can cause allergic reactions. The most common symptoms are runny nose, eye irritation, cough, congestion, and aggravation of asthma.

Fungi are present almost everywhere in indoor and outdoor environments. The most common symptoms of fungal exposure are runny nose, eye irritation, cough, congestion, and aggravation of asthma. Although there is evidence documenting severe health effects of fungi in humans, most of this evidence is derived from ingestion of contaminated foods (i.e., grain and peanut products) or occupational exposures in agricultural settings where inhalation exposures were very high. With the possible exception of remediation to very heavily contaminated indoor environments, such high-level exposures are not expected to occur while performing remedial work.

There have been reports linking health effects in office workers to offices contaminated with moldy surfaces and in residents of homes contaminated with fungal growth. Symptoms, such as fatigue, respiratory ailments, and eye irritation were typically observed in these cases. Some studies have suggested an association between SC and pulmonary hemorrhage/hemosiderosis in infants,

generally those less than six months old. Pulmonary hemosiderosis is an uncommon condition that results from bleeding in the lungs. The cause of this condition is unknown, but may result from a combination of environmental contaminants and conditions (e.g., smoking, fungal contaminants and other bioaerosols, and water-damaged homes), and currently its association with SC is unproven.

The focus of this guidance document addresses mold contamination of building components (walls, ventilation systems, support beams, etc.) that are chronically moist or water damaged. Occupants should address common household sources of mold, such as mold found in bathroom tubs or between tiles with household cleaners. Moldy food (e.g., breads, fruits, etc.) should be discarded.

Building materials supporting fungal growth must be remediated *as rapidly as possible* in order to ensure a healthy environment. Repair of the defects that led to water accumulation (or elevated humidity) should be conducted in conjunction with or prior to fungal remediation. Specific methods of assessing and remediating fungal contamination should be based on the extent of visible contamination and underlying damage. The simplest and most expedient remediation that is reasonable, and properly and safely removes fungal contamination, should be used. Remediation and assessment methods are described in this document.

The use of respiratory protection, gloves, and eye protection is recommended. Extensive contamination, particularly if heating, ventilating, air conditioning (HVAC) systems or large occupied spaces are involved, should be assessed by an experienced health and safety professional and remediated by personnel with training and experience handling environmentally contaminated materials. Lesser areas of contamination can usually be assessed and remediated by building maintenance personnel. In order to prevent contamination from recurring, underlying defects causing moisture buildup and water damage must be addressed. Effective communication with building occupants is an essential component of all remedial efforts.

Fungi in buildings may cause or exacerbate symptoms of allergies (such as wheezing, chest tightness, shortness of breath, nasal congestion, and eye irritation), especially in persons who have a history of allergic diseases (such as asthma and rhinitis). Individuals with persistent health problems that appear to be related to fungi or other bioaerosol exposure should see their physicians for a referral to practitioners who are trained in occupational/environmental medicine or related specialties and are knowledgeable about these types of exposures. Decisions about removing individuals from an affected area must be based on the results of such medical evaluation, and be made on a case-by-case basis. Except in cases of widespread fungal contamination that are linked to illnesses throughout a building, building-wide evacuation is not indicated.

In summary, prompt remediation of contaminated material and infrastructure repair is the primary response to fungal contamination in buildings. Emphasis should be placed on preventing contamination through proper building and HVAC system maintenance and prompt repair of water damage.

This document is not a legal mandate and should be used as a guideline.

Currently there are no United States Federal, New York State, or New York City regulations for evaluating potential health effects of fungal contamination and remediation. These guidelines are subject to change as more information regarding fungal contaminants becomes available.

[top of page](#)

Introduction

On May 7, 1993, the New York City Department of Health (DOH), the New York City Human Resources Administration (HRA), and the Mt. Sinai Occupational Health Clinic convened an expert panel on *Stachybotrys atra* in Indoor Environments. The purpose of the panel was to develop policies for medical and environmental evaluation and intervention to address *Stachybotrys atra* (now known as *Stachybotrys chartarum* (SC)) contamination. The original guidelines

were developed because of mold growth problems in several New York City buildings in the early 1990's. This document revises and expands the original guidelines to include all fungi (mold). It is based both on a review of the literature regarding fungi and on comments obtained by a review panel consisting of experts in the fields of microbiology and health sciences. It is intended for use by building engineers and management, but is available for general distribution to anyone concerned about fungal contamination, such as environmental consultants, health professionals, or the general public.

This document contains a discussion of potential health effects; medical evaluations; environmental assessments; protocols for remediation; and a discussion of risk communication strategy. The guidelines are divided into four sections:

1. Health Issues; 2. Environmental Assessment; 3. Remediation; and 4. Hazard Communication.

We are expanding the guidelines to be inclusive of all fungi for several reasons:

- Many fungi (e.g., species of *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, *Fusarium*, *Trichoderma*, and *Memnoniella*) in addition to SC can produce potent mycotoxins, some of which are identical to compounds produced by SC.^{1, 2, 3, 4} Mycotoxins are fungal metabolites that have been identified as toxic agents. For this reason, SC cannot be treated as uniquely toxic in indoor environments.
- People performing renovations/cleaning of widespread fungal contamination may be at risk for developing Organic Dust Toxic Syndrome (ODTS) or Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis (HP). ODTS may occur after a *single heavy* exposure to dust contaminated with fungi and produces flu-like symptoms. It differs from HP in that it is not an immune-mediated disease and does not require repeated exposures to the same causative agent. A variety of biological agents may cause ODTS including common species of fungi. HP may occur after repeated exposures to an allergen and can result in permanent lung damage.^{5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10}
- Fungi can cause allergic reactions. The most common symptoms are runny nose, eye irritation, cough, congestion, and aggravation of asthma.^{11, 12}

Fungi are present almost everywhere in indoor and outdoor environments. The most common symptoms of fungal exposure are runny nose, eye irritation, cough, congestion, and aggravation of asthma. Although there is evidence

documenting severe health effects of fungi in humans, most of this evidence is derived from ingestion of contaminated foods (i.e., grain and peanut products) or occupational exposures in agricultural settings where inhalation exposures were very high.^{13, 14} With the possible exception of remediation to very heavily contaminated indoor environments, such high level exposures are not expected to occur while performing remedial work.¹⁵

There have been reports linking health effects in office workers to offices contaminated with moldy surfaces and in residents of homes contaminated with fungal growth.^{12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20} Symptoms, such as fatigue, respiratory ailments, and eye irritation were typically observed in these cases.

Some studies have suggested an association between SC and pulmonary hemorrhage/hemosiderosis in infants, generally those less than six months old. Pulmonary hemosiderosis is an uncommon condition that results from bleeding in the lungs. The cause of this condition is unknown, but may result from a combination of environmental contaminants and conditions (e.g., smoking, other microbial contaminants, and water-damaged homes), and currently its association with SC is unproven.^{21, 22, 23}

The focus of this guidance document addresses mold contamination of building components (walls, ventilation systems, support beams, etc.) that are chronically moist or water damaged. Occupants should address common household sources of mold, such as mold found in bathroom tubs or between tiles with household cleaners. Moldy food (e.g., breads, fruits, etc.) should be discarded.

This document is not a legal mandate and should be used as a guideline.

Currently there are no United States Federal, New York State, or New York City regulations for evaluating potential health effects of fungal contamination and remediation. These guidelines are subject to change as more information regarding fungal contaminants becomes available.

[top of page](#)

1. Health Issues

1.1 Health Effects

Inhalation of fungal spores, fragments (parts), or metabolites (e.g., mycotoxins and volatile organic compounds) from a wide variety of fungi may lead to or exacerbate immunologic (allergic) reactions, cause toxic effects, or cause infections.^{11, 12, 24}

There are only a limited number of documented cases of health problems from indoor exposure to fungi. The intensity of exposure and health effects seen in studies of fungal exposure in the indoor environment was typically much less severe than those that were experienced by agricultural workers but were of a long-term duration.^{5-10, 12, 14, 16-20, 25-27} Illnesses can result from both high level, short-term exposures and lower level, long-term exposures. The most common symptoms reported from exposures in indoor environments are runny nose, eye irritation, cough, congestion, aggravation of asthma, headache, and fatigue.^{11, 12, 16-20}

The presence of fungi on building materials as identified by a visual assessment or by bulk/surface sampling results does not necessitate that people will be exposed or exhibit health effects. In order for humans to be exposed indoors, fungal spores, fragments, or metabolites must be released into the air and inhaled, physically contacted (dermal exposure), or ingested. Whether or not symptoms develop in people exposed to fungi depends on the nature of the fungal material (e.g., allergenic, toxic, or infectious), the amount of exposure, and the susceptibility of exposed persons. Susceptibility varies with the genetic predisposition (e.g., allergic reactions do not always occur in all individuals), age, state of health, and concurrent exposures. For these reasons, and because measurements of exposure are not standardized and biological markers of exposure to fungi are largely unknown, it is not possible to determine "safe" or "unsafe" levels of exposure for people in general.

1.1.1 Immunological Effects

Immunological reactions include asthma, HP, and allergic rhinitis. Contact with fungi may also lead to dermatitis. It is thought that these conditions are caused by an immune response to fungal agents. The most common symptoms associated with allergic reactions are runny nose, eye irritation, cough, congestion, and aggravation of asthma.^{11, 12} HP may occur after repeated exposures to an allergen and can result in permanent lung damage. HP has typically been associated with repeated heavy exposures in agricultural settings but has also been reported in office settings.^{25, 26, 27} Exposure to fungi through renovation work may also lead to initiation or exacerbation of allergic or respiratory symptoms.

1.1.2 Toxic Effects

A wide variety of symptoms have been attributed to the toxic effects of fungi. Symptoms, such as fatigue, nausea, and headaches, and respiratory and eye irritation have been reported. Some of the symptoms related to fungal exposure are non-specific, such as discomfort, inability to concentrate, and fatigue.^{11, 12, 16-20} Severe illnesses such as OOTS and pulmonary hemosiderosis have also been attributed to fungal exposures.^{5-10, 21, 22}

OOTS describes the abrupt onset of fever, flu-like symptoms, and respiratory symptoms in the hours following a *single, heavy* exposure to dust containing organic material including fungi. It differs from HP in that it is not an immune-mediated disease and does not require repeated exposures to the same causative agent. OOTS may be caused by a variety of biological agents including common species of fungi (e.g., species of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*). OOTS has been documented in farm workers handling contaminated material but is also of concern to workers performing renovation work on building materials contaminated with fungi.⁵⁻¹⁰

Some studies have suggested an association between SC and pulmonary hemorrhage/hemosiderosis in infants, generally those less than six

months old. Pulmonary hemosiderosis is an uncommon condition that results from bleeding in the lungs. The cause of this condition is unknown, but may result from a combination of environmental contaminants and conditions (e.g., smoking, fungal contaminants and other bioaerosols, and water-damaged homes), and currently its association with SC is unproven.^{21, 22, 23}

1.1.3 Infectious Disease

Only a small group of fungi have been associated with infectious disease. Aspergillosis is an infectious disease that can occur in immunosuppressed persons. Health effects in this population can be severe. Several species of *Aspergillus* are known to cause aspergillosis. The most common is *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Exposure to this common mold, even to high concentrations, is unlikely to cause infection in a healthy person.^{11, 24} Exposure to fungi associated with bird and bat droppings (e.g., *Histoplasma capsulatum* and *Cryptococcus neoformans*) can lead to health effects, usually transient flu-like illnesses, in healthy individuals. Severe health effects are primarily encountered in immunocompromised persons.^{24, 28, 29}

1.2 Medical Evaluation

Individuals with persistent health problems that appear to be related to fungi or other bioaerosol exposure should see their physicians for a referral to practitioners who are trained in occupational/environmental medicine or related specialties and are knowledgeable about these types of exposures. Infants (less than 12 months old) who are experiencing non-traumatic nosebleeds or are residing in dwellings with damp or moldy conditions and are experiencing breathing difficulties should receive a medical evaluation to screen for alveolar hemorrhage. Following this evaluation, infants who are suspected of having alveolar hemorrhaging should be referred to a pediatric pulmonologist. Infants diagnosed with

pulmonary hemosiderosis and/or pulmonary hemorrhaging should not be returned to dwellings until remediation and air testing are completed.

Clinical tests that can determine the source, place, or time of exposure to fungi or their products are not currently available. Antibodies developed by exposed persons to fungal agents can only document that exposure has occurred. Since exposure to fungi routinely occurs in both outdoor and indoor environments this information is of limited value.

1.3 Medical Relocation

Infants (less than 12 months old), persons recovering from recent surgery, or people with immune suppression, asthma, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, severe allergies, sinusitis, or other chronic inflammatory lung diseases may be at greater risk for developing health problems associated with certain fungi. Such persons should be removed from the affected area during remediation (see Section 3, Remediation). Persons diagnosed with fungal related diseases should not be returned to the affected areas until remediation and air testing are completed.

Except in cases of widespread fungal contamination that are linked to illnesses throughout a building, a building-wide evacuation is not indicated. A trained occupational/environmental health practitioner should base decisions about medical removals in the occupational setting on the results of a clinical assessment.

[top of page](#)

2. Environmental Assessment

The presence of mold, water damage, or musty odors should be addressed immediately. In all instances, any source(s) of water must be stopped and the extent of water damaged determined. Water damaged materials should be dried and repaired. Mold damaged materials should be remediated in accordance with this document (see Section 3, Remediation).

2.1 Visual Inspection

A visual inspection is the most important initial step in identifying a possible contamination problem. The extent of any water damage and mold growth should be visually assessed. This assessment is important in determining remedial strategies. Ventilation systems should also be visually checked, particularly for damp filters but also for damp conditions elsewhere in the system and overall cleanliness. Ceiling tiles, gypsum wallboard (sheetrock), cardboard, paper, and other cellulosic surfaces should be given careful attention during a visual inspection. The use of equipment such as a boroscope, to view spaces in ductwork or behind walls, or a moisture meter, to detect moisture in building materials, may be helpful in identifying hidden sources of fungal growth and the extent of water damage.

2.2 Bulk/Surface Sampling

- a. Bulk or surface sampling is not required to undertake a remediation. Remediation (as described in Section 3, Remediation) of visually identified fungal contamination should proceed without further evaluation.
- b. Bulk or surface samples may need to be collected to identify specific fungal contaminants as part of a medical evaluation if occupants are experiencing symptoms which may be related to fungal exposure or to identify the presence or absence of mold if a visual inspection is equivocal (e.g., discoloration, and staining).
- c. An individual trained in appropriate sampling methodology should perform bulk or surface sampling. Bulk samples are usually collected from visibly moldy surfaces by scraping or cutting materials with a clean tool into a clean plastic bag. Surface samples are usually collected by wiping a measured area with a sterile swab or by stripping the suspect surface with clear tape. Surface sampling is less destructive than bulk sampling. Other sampling methods may also be available. A laboratory specializing in mycology should be consulted for specific sampling and delivery instructions.

2.3 Air Monitoring

- d. Air sampling for fungi should not be part of a routine assessment. This is because decisions about appropriate remediation strategies can usually be

made on the basis of a visual inspection. In addition, air-sampling methods for some fungi are prone to false negative results and therefore cannot be used to definitively rule out contamination.

- e. Air monitoring may be necessary if an individual(s) has been diagnosed with a disease that is or may be associated with a fungal exposure (e.g., pulmonary hemorrhage/hemosiderosis, and aspergillosis).
- f. Air monitoring may be necessary if there is evidence from a visual inspection or bulk sampling that ventilation systems may be contaminated. The purpose of such air monitoring is to assess the extent of contamination throughout a building. It is preferable to conduct sampling while ventilation systems are operating.
- g. Air monitoring may be necessary if the presence of mold is suspected (e.g., musty odors) but cannot be identified by a visual inspection or bulk sampling (e.g., mold growth behind walls). The purpose of such air monitoring is to determine the location and/or extent of contamination.
- h. If air monitoring is performed, for comparative purposes, outdoor air samples should be collected concurrently at an air intake, if possible, and at a location representative of outdoor air. For additional information on air sampling, refer to the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists' document, "Bioaerosols: Assessment and Control."
- i. Personnel conducting the sampling must be trained in proper air sampling methods for microbial contaminants. A laboratory specializing in mycology should be consulted for specific sampling and shipping instructions.

2.4 Analysis of Environmental Samples

Microscopic identification of the spores/colonies requires considerable expertise. These services are not routinely available from commercial laboratories. Documented quality control in the laboratories used for analysis of the bulk/surface and air samples is necessary. The American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) offers accreditation to microbial laboratories (Environmental Microbiology Laboratory Accreditation Program (EMLAP)). Accredited laboratories must participate in quarterly proficiency testing (Environmental Microbiology Proficiency Analytical Testing Program (EMPAT)).

Evaluation of bulk/surface and air sampling data should be performed by an experienced health professional. The presence of few or trace amounts of fungal spores in bulk/surface sampling should be considered background. Amounts greater than this or the presence of fungal fragments (e.g., hyphae, and conidiophores) may suggest fungal colonization, growth, and/or accumulation at or near the sampled location.³⁰ Air samples should be evaluated by means of comparison (i.e., indoors to outdoors) and by fungal type (e.g., genera, and species). In general, the levels and types of fungi found should be similar indoors (in non-problem buildings) as compared to the outdoor air. Differences in the levels or types of fungi found in air samples may indicate that moisture sources and resultant fungal growth may be problematic.

[top of page](#)

3. Remediation

In all situations, the underlying cause of water accumulation must be rectified or fungal growth will recur. Any initial water infiltration should be stopped and cleaned immediately. An immediate response (within 24 to 48 hours) and thorough clean up, drying, and/or removal of water damaged materials will prevent or limit mold growth. If the source of water is elevated humidity, relative humidity should be maintained at levels below 60% to inhibit mold growth.³¹ Emphasis should be on ensuring proper repairs of the building infrastructure, so that water damage and moisture buildup does not recur.

Five different levels of abatement are described below. The size of the area impacted by fungal contamination primarily determines the type of remediation. The sizing levels below are based on professional judgement and practicality; currently there is not adequate data to relate the extent of contamination to frequency or severity of health effects. The goal of remediation is to remove or clean contaminated materials in a way that prevents the emission of fungi and

dust contaminated with fungi from leaving a work area and entering an occupied or non-abatement area, while protecting the health of workers performing the abatement. The listed remediation methods were designed to achieve this goal, however, due to the general nature of these methods it is the responsibility of the people conducting remediation to ensure the methods enacted are adequate. The listed remediation methods are not meant to exclude other similarly effective methods. Any changes to the remediation methods listed in these guidelines, however, should be carefully considered prior to implementation.

Non-porous (e.g., metals, glass, and hard plastics) and semi-porous (e.g., wood, and concrete) materials that are structurally sound and are visibly moldy can be cleaned and reused. Cleaning should be done using a detergent solution. Porous materials such as ceiling tiles and insulation, and wallboards with more than a small area of contamination should be removed and discarded. Porous materials (e.g., wallboard, and fabrics) that can be cleaned, can be reused, but should be discarded if possible. A professional restoration consultant should be contacted when restoring porous materials with more than a small area of fungal contamination. All materials to be reused should be dry and visibly free from mold. Routine inspections should be conducted to confirm the effectiveness of remediation work.

The use of gaseous, vapor-phase, or aerosolized biocides for remedial purposes is not recommended. The use of biocides in this manner can pose health concerns for people in occupied spaces of the building and for people returning to the treated space if used improperly. Furthermore, the effectiveness of these treatments is unproven and does not address the possible health concerns from the presence of the remaining non-viable mold. For additional information on the use of biocides for remedial purposes, refer to the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists' document, "Bioaerosols: Assessment and Control."

3.1 Level I: Small Isolated Areas (10 sq. ft or less) - e.g., ceiling tiles, small areas on walls

- a. Remediation can be conducted by regular building maintenance staff. Such persons should receive training on proper clean up methods, personal protection, and potential health hazards. This training can be performed as part of a program to comply with the requirements of the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard (29 CFR 1910.1200).
- b. Respiratory protection (e.g., N95 disposable respirator), in accordance with the OSHA respiratory protection standard (29 CFR 1910.134), is recommended. Gloves and eye protection should be worn.
- c. The work area should be unoccupied. Vacating people from spaces adjacent to the work area is not necessary but is recommended in the presence of infants (less than 12 months old), persons recovering from recent surgery, immune suppressed people, or people with chronic inflammatory lung diseases (e.g., asthma, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and severe allergies).
- d. Containment of the work area is not necessary. Dust suppression methods, such as misting (not soaking) surfaces prior to remediation, are recommended.
- e. Contaminated materials that cannot be cleaned should be removed from the building in a sealed plastic bag. There are no special requirements for the disposal of moldy materials.
- f. The work area and areas used by remedial workers for egress should be cleaned with a damp cloth and/or mop and a detergent solution.
- g. All areas should be left dry and visibly free from contamination and debris.

3.2 Level II: Mid-Sized Isolated Areas (10 - 30 sq. ft.) - e.g., individual wallboard panels.

- h. Remediation can be conducted by regular building maintenance staff. Such persons should receive training on proper clean up methods, personal protection, and potential health hazards. This training can be performed as part of a program to comply with the requirements of the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard (29 CFR 1910.1200).
- i. Respiratory protection (e.g., N95 disposable respirator), in accordance with the OSHA respiratory protection standard (29 CFR 1910.134), is recommended. Gloves and eye protection should be worn.
- j. The work area should be unoccupied. Vacating people from spaces adjacent to the work area is not necessary but is recommended in the

presence of infants (less than 12 months old), persons having undergone recent surgery, immune suppressed people, or people with chronic inflammatory lung diseases (e.g., asthma, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and severe allergies).

- k. The work area should be covered with a plastic sheet(s) and sealed with tape before remediation, to contain dust/debris.
- l. Dust suppression methods, such as misting (not soaking) surfaces prior to remediation, are recommended.
- m. Contaminated materials that cannot be cleaned should be removed from the building in sealed plastic bags. There are no special requirements for the disposal of moldy materials.
- n. The work area and areas used by remedial workers for egress should be HEPA vacuumed (a vacuum equipped with a High-Efficiency Particulate Air filter) and cleaned with a damp cloth and/or mop and a detergent solution.
- o. All areas should be left dry and visibly free from contamination and debris.

3.3 *Level III*: Large Isolated Areas (30 - 100 square feet) - e.g., several wallboard panels.

A health and safety professional with experience performing microbial investigations should be consulted prior to remediation activities to provide oversight for the project.

The following procedures *at a minimum* are recommended:

- p. Personnel trained in the handling of hazardous materials and equipped with respiratory protection, (e.g., N95 disposable respirator), in accordance with the OSHA respiratory protection standard (29 CFR 1910.134), is recommended. Gloves and eye protection should be worn.
- q. The work area and areas directly adjacent should be covered with a plastic sheet(s) and taped before remediation, to contain dust/debris.
- r. Seal ventilation ducts/grills in the work area and areas directly adjacent with plastic sheeting.
- s. The work area and areas directly adjacent should be unoccupied. Further vacating of people from spaces near the work area is recommended in the presence of infants (less than 12 months old), persons having undergone

recent surgery, immune suppressed people, or people with chronic inflammatory lung diseases (e.g., asthma, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and severe allergies).

- t. Dust suppression methods, such as misting (not soaking) surfaces prior to remediation, are recommended.
- u. Contaminated materials that cannot be cleaned should be removed from the building in sealed plastic bags. There are no special requirements for the disposal of moldy materials.
- v. The work area and surrounding areas should be HEPA vacuumed and cleaned with a damp cloth and/or mop and a detergent solution.
- w. All areas should be left dry and visibly free from contamination and debris.

If abatement procedures are expected to generate a lot of dust (e.g., abrasive cleaning of contaminated surfaces, demolition of plaster walls) or the visible concentration of the fungi is heavy (blanket coverage as opposed to patchy), then it is recommended that the remediation procedures for Level IV are followed.

3.4 *Level IV*: Extensive Contamination (greater than 100 contiguous square feet in an area)

A health and safety professional with experience performing microbial investigations should be consulted prior to remediation activities to provide oversight for the project. The following procedures are recommended:

- x. Personnel trained in the handling of hazardous materials equipped with:
 - i. Full-face respirators with high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) cartridges
 - ii. Disposable protective clothing covering both head and shoes
 - iii. Gloves
- y. Containment of the affected area:
 - i. Complete isolation of work area from occupied spaces using plastic sheeting sealed with duct tape (including ventilation ducts/grills, fixtures, and any other openings)
 - ii. The use of an exhaust fan with a HEPA filter to generate negative pressurization

- iii. Airlocks and decontamination room
- z. Vacating people from spaces adjacent to the work area is not necessary but is recommended in the presence of infants (less than 12 months old), persons having undergone recent surgery, immune suppressed people, or people with chronic inflammatory lung diseases (e.g., asthma, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and severe allergies).
- aa. Contaminated materials that cannot be cleaned should be removed from the building in sealed plastic bags. The outside of the bags should be cleaned with a damp cloth and a detergent solution or HEPA vacuumed in the decontamination chamber prior to their transport to uncontaminated areas of the building. There are no special requirements for the disposal of moldy materials.
- bb. The contained area and decontamination room should be HEPA vacuumed and cleaned with a damp cloth and/or mop with a detergent solution and be visibly clean prior to the removal of isolation barriers.
- cc. Air monitoring should be conducted prior to occupancy to determine if the area is fit to reoccupy.

3.5 *Level V*: Remediation of HVAC Systems

3.5.1 A Small Isolated Area of Contamination (<10 square feet) in the HVAC System

- dd. Remediation can be conducted by regular building maintenance staff. Such persons should receive training on proper clean up methods, personal protection, and potential health hazards. This training can be performed as part of a program to comply with the requirements of the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard (29 CFR 1910.1200).
- ee. Respiratory protection (e.g., N95 disposable respirator), in accordance with the OSHA respiratory protection standard (29 CFR 1910.134), is recommended. Gloves and eye protection should be worn.
- ff. The HVAC system should be shut down prior to any remedial activities.
- gg. The work area should be covered with a plastic sheet(s) and sealed with tape before remediation, to contain dust/debris.
- hh. Dust suppression methods, such as misting (not soaking) surfaces prior to remediation, are recommended.
- ii. Growth supporting materials that are contaminated, such as the paper on the insulation of interior lined ducts and filters, should be removed. Other

contaminated materials that cannot be cleaned should be removed in sealed plastic bags. There are no special requirements for the disposal of moldy materials.

- jj. The work area and areas immediately surrounding the work area should be HEPA vacuumed and cleaned with a damp cloth and/or mop and a detergent solution.
- kk. All areas should be left dry and visibly free from contamination and debris.
- ll. A variety of biocides are recommended by HVAC manufacturers for use with HVAC components, such as, cooling coils and condensation pans. HVAC manufacturers should be consulted for the products they recommend for use in their systems.

3.5.2 Areas of Contamination (>10 square feet) in the HVAC System

A health and safety professional with experience performing microbial investigations should be consulted prior to remediation activities to provide oversight for remediation projects involving more than a small isolated area in an HVAC system. The following procedures are recommended:

- mm. Personnel trained in the handling of hazardous materials equipped with:
 - i. Respiratory protection (e.g., N95 disposable respirator), in accordance with the OSHA respiratory protection standard (29 CFR 1910.134), is recommended.
 - ii. Gloves and eye protection
 - iii. Full-face respirators with HEPA cartridges and disposable protective clothing covering both head and shoes should be worn if contamination is greater than 30 square feet.
- nn. The HVAC system should be shut down prior to any remedial activities.
- oo. Containment of the affected area:
 - i. Complete isolation of work area from the other areas of the HVAC system using plastic sheeting sealed with duct tape.
 - ii. The use of an exhaust fan with a HEPA filter to generate negative pressurization.
 - iii. Airlocks and decontamination room if contamination is greater than 30 square feet.

- pp. Growth supporting materials that are contaminated, such as the paper on the insulation of interior lined ducts and filters, should be removed. Other contaminated materials that cannot be cleaned should be removed in sealed plastic bags. When a decontamination chamber is present, the outside of the bags should be cleaned with a damp cloth and a detergent solution or HEPA vacuumed prior to their transport to uncontaminated areas of the building. There are no special requirements for the disposal of moldy materials.
- qq. The contained area and decontamination room should be HEPA vacuumed and cleaned with a damp cloth and/or mop and a detergent solution prior to the removal of isolation barriers.
- rr. All areas should be left dry and visibly free from contamination and debris.
- ss. Air monitoring should be conducted prior to re-occupancy with the HVAC system in operation to determine if the area(s) served by the system are fit to reoccupy.
- tt. A variety of biocides are recommended by HVAC manufacturers for use with HVAC components, such as, cooling coils and condensation pans. HVAC manufacturers should be consulted for the products they recommend for use in their systems.

[top of page](#)

4. Hazard Communication

When fungal growth requiring large-scale remediation is found, the building owner, management, and/or employer should notify occupants in the affected area(s) of its presence. Notification should include a description of the remedial measures to be taken and a timetable for completion. Group meetings held before and after remediation with full disclosure of plans and results can be an effective communication mechanism. Individuals with persistent health problems that appear to be related to bioaerosol exposure should see their physicians for a referral to practitioners who are trained in occupational/environmental medicine or related specialties and are knowledgeable about these types of exposures.

Individuals seeking medical attention should be provided with a copy of all inspection results and interpretation to give to their medical practitioners.

[top of page](#)

Conclusion

In summary, the prompt remediation of contaminated material and infrastructure repair must be the primary response to fungal contamination in buildings. The simplest and most expedient remediation that properly and safely removes fungal growth from buildings should be used. In all situations, the underlying cause of water accumulation must be rectified or the fungal growth will recur. Emphasis should be placed on preventing contamination through proper building maintenance and prompt repair of water damaged areas.

Widespread contamination poses much larger problems that must be addressed on a case-by-case basis in consultation with a health and safety specialist.

Effective communication with building occupants is an essential component of all remedial efforts. Individuals with persistent health problems should see their physicians for a referral to practitioners who are trained in occupational/environmental medicine or related specialties and are knowledgeable about these types of exposures.

[top of page](#)

Notes and References

1. Bata A, Harrach B, Kalman U, Kis-tamas A, Lasztity R. Macrocyclic Trichothecene Toxins Produced by *Stachybotrys atra* Strains Isolated in Middle Europe. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 1985; 49:678-81.
2. Jarvis B, "Mycotoxins and Indoor Air Quality," *Biological Contaminants in Indoor Environments, ASTM STP 1071*, Morey P, Feely Sr. J, Otten J, Editors, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1990.
3. - Yang C, Johanning E, "Airborne Fungi and Mycotoxins," *Manual of Environmental Microbiology*, Hurst C, Editor in Chief, ASM Press, Washington, D.C., 1996

4. Jarvis B, Mazzola E. Macrocyclic and Other Novel Trichothecenes: Their Structure, Synthesis, and Biological Significance. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1982; **15**:388-95.
5. Von Essen S, Robbins R, Thompson A, Rennard S. Organic Dust Toxic Syndrome: An Acute Febrile Reaction to Organic Dust Exposure Distinct from Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis. *Clinical Toxicology* 1990; **28**(4):389-420.
6. Richerson H. Unifying Concepts Underlying the Effects of Organic Dust Exposures. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 1990; **17**:139-42.
7. Malmberg P, Rask-Andersen A, Lundholm M, Palmgren U. Can Spores from Molds and Actinomycetes Cause an Organic Dust Toxic Syndrome Reaction?. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 1990; **17**:109-10.
8. Malmberg P. Health Effects of Organic Dust Exposure in Dairy Farmers. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 1990; **17**:7-15.
9. Yoshida K, Masayuki A, Shukuro A. Acute Pulmonary Edema in a Storehouse of Moldy Oranges: A Severe Case of the Organic Dust Toxic Syndrome. *Archives of Environmental Health* 1989; **44**(6): 382-84.
10. Lecours R, Laviolette M, Cormier Y. Bronchoalveolar Lavage in Pulmonary Mycotoxicosis. *Thorax* 1986; **41**:924-6.
11. Levetin E. "Fungi," *Bioaerosols*, Burge H, Editor, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1995.
12. Husman T. Health Effects of Indoor-air Microorganisms. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1996; **22**:5-13.
13. Miller J D. Fungi and Mycotoxins in Grain: Implications for Stored Product Research. *J Stored Prod Res* 1995; **31**(1):1-16.
14. Cookingham C, Solomon W. "Bioaerosol-Induced Hypersensitivity Diseases," *Bioaerosols*, Burge H, Editor, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1995.
15. Rautiala S, Reponen T, Nevalainen A, Husman T, Kalliokoski P. Control of Exposure to Airborne Viable Microorganisms During Remediation of Moldy Buildings; Report of Three Case Studies. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal* 1998; **59**:455-60.
16. Dales R, Zwanenburg H, Burnett R, Franklin C. Respiratory Health Effects of Home Dampness and Molds among Canadian Children. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1991; **134**(2): 196-203.
17. Hodgson M, Morey P, Leung W, Morrow L, Miller J D, Jarvis B, Robbins H, Halsey J, Storey E. Building-Associated Pulmonary Disease from Exposure to *Stachybotrys chartarum* and *Aspergillus versicolor*. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 1998; **40**(3)241-9.
18. Croft W, Jarvis B, Yatawara C. Airborne Outbreak of Trichothecene Toxicosis. *Atmospheric Environment* 1986; **20**(3)549-52.
19. DeKoster J, Thorne P. Bioaerosol Concentrations in Noncomplaint, Complaint, and Intervention Homes in the Midwest. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal* 1995; **56**:573-80.
20. Johanning E, Biagini R, Hull D, Morey P, Jarvis B, Landbergis P. Health and Immunological Study Following Exposure to Toxigenic Fungi (*Stachybotrys chartarum*) in a Water-Damaged Office Environment. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 1996; **68**:207-18.

21. Montana E, Etzel R, Allan T, Horgan T, Dearborn D. Environmental Risk Factor Associated with Pediatric Idiopathic Pulmonary Hemorrhage and Hemosiderosis in a Cleveland Community. *Pediatrics* 1997; **99**(1)
22. Etzel R, Montana E, Sorenson W G, Kullman G, Allan T, Dearborn D. Acute Pulmonary Hemorrhage in Infants Associated with Exposure to *Stachybotrys atra* and Other Fungi. *Ach Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1998; **152**:757-62.
23. CDC. Update: Pulmonary Hemorrhage/Hemosiderosis Among Infants --- Cleveland, Ohio, 1993 - 1996. *MMWR* 2000; **49**(9): 180-4.
24. Burge H, Otten J. "Fungi," *Bioaerosols Assessment and Control*, Macher J, Editor, American Conference of Industrial Hygienists, Cincinnati, Ohio, 1999.
25. do Pico G. Hazardous Exposure and Lung Disease Among Farm Workers. *Clinics in Chest Medicine* 1992; **13**(2):311-28.
26. Hodgson M, Morey P, Attfield M, Sorenson W, Fink J, Rhodes W, Visvesvara G. Pulmonary Disease Associated with Cafeteria Flooding. *Archives of Environmental Health* 1985; **40**(2):96-101.
27. Weltermann B, Hodgson M, Storey E, DeGraff, Jr. A, Bracker A, Groseclose S, Cole S, Cartter M, Phillips D. Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis: A Sentinel Event Investigation in a Wet Building. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 1998; **34**:499-505.
28. Band J. "Histoplasmosis," *Occupational Respiratory Diseases*, Merchant J, Editor, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Washington D.C., 1986.
29. Bertolini R. "Histoplasmosis A Summary of the Occupational Health Concern," Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety. Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 1988.
30. Yang C. P&K Microbiology Services, Inc. Microscopic Examination of Sticky Tape or Bulk Samples for the Evaluation and Identification of Fungi. Cherry Hill, New Jersey.
31. American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy - ASHRAE Standard (ANSI/ASHRAE 55-1992). Atlanta, Georgia, 1992.

[top of page](#)

Acknowledgments

The New York City Department of Health would like to thank the following individuals and organizations for participating in the revision of these guidelines. Please note that these guidelines do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the participants nor their organizations.

Name	Company/Institution
------	---------------------

Dr. Susan Klitzman	Hunter College
Dr. Philip Morey	AQS Services, Inc
Dr. Donald Ahearn	Georgia State University
Dr. Sidney Crow	Georgia State University
Dr. J. David Miller	Carleton University
Dr. Bruce Jarvis	University of Maryland at College Park
Mr. Ed Light	Building Dynamics, LLC
Dr. Chin Yang	P&K Microbiology Services, Inc
Dr. Harriet Burge	Harvard School of Public Health
Dr. Dorr Dearborn	Rainbow Children's Hospital
Mr. Eric Esswein	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Dr. Ed Horn	The New York State Department of Health
Dr. Judith Schreiber	The New York State Department of Health
Mr. Gregg Recer	The New York State Department of Health
Dr. Gerald Llewellyn	State of Delaware, Division of Public Health
Mr. Daniel Price	Interface Research Corporation
Ms. Sylvia Pryce	The NYC Citywide Office of Occupational Safety and Health
Mr. Armando Chamorro	Ambient Environmental
Ms. Marie-Alix d'Halewyn	Laboratoire de santé publique du Québec
Dr. Elissa A. Favata	Environmental and Occupational Health Associates
Dr. Harriet Ammann	Washington State Department of Health
Mr. Terry Allan	Cuyahoga County Board of Health

We would also like to thank the many others who offered opinions, comments, and assistance at various stages during the development of these guidelines.

Christopher D'Andrea, M.S. of the Environmental and Occupational Disease
Epidemiology Unit, was the editor of this document.

For further information regarding this document please contact the New York City
Department of Health at (212) 788-4290 / 4288.

(April 2000) January 2002

August 19, 2002

Mr. Joe Masino
Samson Management
97-77 Queens Blvd.
Rego Park, NY 11374

Dear Mr. Masino:

The New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) conducted a walkthrough inspection for the presence of mold at 125 Cedar Street (120 Liberty Street) on July 19, 2002. Attached is a copy of our inspection results. Please call me at (212) 788-4290 with any questions you may have regarding this issue.

Sincerely,

Christopher D'Andrea
Office of Environmental and Occupational Disease Epidemiology

c: M. Gilsenan, DEP
J. Cone, DOHMH
M. Cook
P. Moore
R. Fitzpatrick, EPA

125 Cedar Street

Introduction

The New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) conducted a walkthrough inspection for the presence of mold at 125 Cedar Street (120 Liberty Street) on July 19, 2002. 125 Cedar Street is located adjacent to the southern boarder of the World Trade Center site. It is a twelve-story building. Each floor is split into a north and south apartment. A penthouse is located on top of the building. The building was reported to have mold growth resulting from water damage from several sources that primarily impacted the apartments on the north side. Most of the water damage reportedly occurred as a result of internal plumbing problems (i.e. broken pipes and a broken toilet tank). Some water infiltration was reported through windows broken as a result of the collapse of the Twin Towers. Five water-damaged apartments were inspected. Tenants from two of the inspected apartments, the building superintendent, Bob Bacar, and EPA Representative, Bob Fitzpatrick, accompanied DOHMH.

Discussion and Findings

The ceilings in all of the apartments consisted of painted plaster. Dividing walls in each apartment were composed of $\frac{3}{4}$ inch sheetrock. The following is a discussion of each of the five inspected apartments:

Apartment 3N –

Peeling paint was observed on much of the ceiling throughout the apartment. Extensive visible mold (greater than 100 square feet) was observed in the bedroom adjoining the entrance hallway. Visible mold was noted on several walls and the ceiling in this room. Small areas (less than 10 square feet) of mold growth were also noted on the entrance hallway ceiling and the ceiling in the workroom that is adjacent to the aforementioned bedroom.

Apartment 4N –

Peeling paint was observed on much of the ceiling throughout the apartment. Some of the wood flooring was buckled. Moderate areas (10-30 square feet) of visible mold were observed in the hallway closet and children's bedroom. A small area of mold was also noted on the hallway wall. Additionally, a cutout of the wallboard in the hallway revealed the presence of mold on the backside of wallboard in the children's bedroom. The extent of this growth could not be determined.

Apartment 5N –

Water damage was less extensive in this apartment. Some peeling paint was observed on the ceiling in a workroom and above a drop ceiling over the bathroom by the workroom. No visible mold was observed in these areas. A small area of water damage was also noted in the kitchen above the shelving on the wall. It was unclear if the discoloration in this location was mold growth.

Apartment 6N –

Ceiling water damage was noted and some chipping paint was observed on the ceiling in several areas. Some of wood flooring was buckled. It was unclear whether a small area of discoloration in one location (in the corner of the ceiling, above the radiator, in the room with the desk) was mold growth.

Apartment 5S –

Small areas of chipping paint were observed in three rooms of this apartment. No visible mold growth was noted.

Conclusion and Recommendations

It is recommended that any visible mold be removed in accordance with the enclosed DOHMH Guidelines on Assessment and Remediation of Fungi in Indoor Environments. It is also recommended that the small areas of discoloration that could not be identified as mold growth be remediated in accordance with these guidelines. Buckled flooring should be removed and inspected for mold growth. The full extent of the mold growth in the area revealed by the cutout in apartment 4N should be determined.

Where wallboard or flooring is removed, exposed areas should be inspected for mold growth. If mold growth is discovered, the extent of the growth should be determined and remediation practices should be adjusted accordingly. It is possible that mold growth is occurring behind other sheetrocked walls. Perimeter plaster walls are not likely to promote significant mold growth on the unpainted interstitial space. Exploratory cutouts of the sheetrock (preferably at the base of the wall) should be performed in areas where water damage is observed but no visible mold growth is seen to identify possible hidden sources of mold.

J/docs/iaq/125 Cedar Street