

## **Gilsenan, Michael**

---

**From:** Atteloney, Leonard  
**Sent:** Monday, September 16, 2002 1:25 PM  
**To:** Lutchmedial, Carlstien  
**Cc:** Theodorellys, Penny; Radhakrishnan, Krish; Gilsenan, Michael  
**Subject:** RE: Air Results review

Based on your review I will return the "Final Visual Inspection of Abatement Projects" reports to Warren and Panzer that were unacceptable.

Those that were found to be acceptable will be processed for payment. I will keep them on file in the laboratory or if you choose I will return them to you.

Thanks for your assistance.

Leonard

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Lutchmedial, Carlstien  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 12, 2002 1:12 PM  
**To:** Atteloney, Leonard  
**Cc:** Radhakrishnan, Krish; Theodorellys, Penny  
**Subject:** Air Results review

The results submitted are mostly completed. However, some of the buildings are partially finished. That is, facades may be remaining to be cleaned.

One of the problems I observed in the report was the use of Yes & No in the check off page.

The line says "Visual Inspection checklist (No residual Dust or Debris Observed - Yes or No)

However, I noticed that "No" has been indicated on numerous final inspections. A no would mean that the location has debris. Therefore, it could not pass inspection. Also, some of the technicians checked off everything, regardless of what was done. Thus, its not clear where the work took place. I have returned forwarded the package to you with the okay set and the problem set separated.