

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Atteloney, Leonard
Sent: Monday, July 01, 2002 6:54 PM
To: Radhakrishnan, Krish
Cc: Anakhu, George; Roman, Gilbert; Soloki, Ahmad; Gill, Brenda; Gilsenan, Michael (ex); Fruchtman, Greg; Brutus, Emmanuel
Subject: AIR MONITORING AUDIT SCHEDULE

Monday - None
 Tuesday - E. Brutus
 Wednesday - B. Gill
 Thursday - A. Soloki
 Friday - G. Anakhu
 Saturday - G. Roman
 Sunday - G.Fruchtman

When there are changes to the schedule I will update you prior to them.

Gilsenan, Michael (ex)

From: Rosenthal, Linda [Linda.Rosenthal2@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 01, 2002 7:24 PM
To: 'michaelgi@dep.nyc.gov'
Cc: 'davestanke@cs.com. sabramson@cmdnewyork.com'; >
Subject: 114 Liberty St.

Michael—This note is to confirm our conversation earlier today regarding 114 Liberty St. The building, which has been unoccupied since September 11, 2001, is a condominium, with both residential and commercial units. The building has heretofore not been on an EPA or DEP list of unoccupied buildings. As we discussed, it is in need of a comprehensive, site-specific cleanup for contaminants present in WTC dust and debris, much as 125 Cedar is in the process of receiving. I have spoken with the building's Managing Agent, Denise Sweeney, and she will be calling you to discuss commencing the process of designing and doing a cleanup of the building's interiors. It is urgent that 114 Liberty St. receive the proper attention from the DEP and the EPA, something that has been sorely lacking to this point. I will call you tomorrow to continue the discussion. Thank you.

— Linda Rosenthal

7/2/02

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Santella.Dennis@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2002 4:24 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael; Radhakrishnan, Krish
Cc: Basso.Ray@epamail.epa.gov; LaPosta.Dore@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Boundaries of Quadrants

In your meeting with EPA this morning a map with quadrant boundaries developed by DEP was discussed. The report I received was that the quadrant boundaries were developed based on the number of buildings in each quadrant in an attempt to equalize the load on each contractor. We also have a quadrant map however it was developed based upon the number of apartments (based on information from HPD) and attempted to equalize the load by equalizing the number of apartments in each quadrant. As I look at the proposed map and the calls we have received already it looks like about half the calls (and cleanups) would be in one quadrant (the sw one). I would like to understand more fully the system you are proposing. Please give me a call at 212 637 3559. I will be in all day tomorrow as will Ray.

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Schiano, Frank
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2002 4:40 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: FW: indoor cleaning - comments on form

—Original Message—

From: Hoffer, Mark
Sent: July 1, 2002 15:51
To: Schiano, Frank
Subject: FW: indoor cleaning - comments on form

fyi

—Original Message—

From: Kahn, Lawrence [<mailto:lkahn@law.nyc.gov>] <[mailto:\[mailto:lkahn@law.nyc.gov\]](mailto:[mailto:lkahn@law.nyc.gov])>
Sent: Friday, June 28, 2002 9:57 AM
To: 'markh@dep.nyc.gov'
Cc: Cushman, Steven
Subject: indoor cleaning - comments on form

Here are a few comments on EPA's draft of the Request Form for the Indoor Air Program:

Steve and I both found the two choices put to the tenant/owners on page 1 to be somewhat confusing. Except for the distinction drawn between the "aggressive" and "modified aggressive" air sampling on the last page, there is little explanation of what air sampling consists of, and there is no explanation at all of what "monitoring" is. It's unclear whether this form will be distributed together with another document that will explain the program, but if not, the program and these terms should be explained in the Request Form. If there is a separate document explaining the program and these terms, the other document should be referenced in the Form in a way that makes it clear that the tenant/owner understands what it is he/she is consenting to.

Also, if the tenant/owner requests "monitoring of the Residence" (second of the first two choices on page 1), I suppose what's intended is that the tenant/owner will decide whether he/she wants to request dust cleaning after seeing the results of the monitoring. The second choice should probably say, therefore: "I request monitoring of the Residence. I will wait until I see the results of the monitoring before deciding whether to request dust cleaning of the Residence."

The purpose of the air sampling should be made clear. (For comparison, see the first of the two choices on page 1 - monitoring afterwards will be to confirm that asbestos is not present above cleanup levels.)

Unless all monitoring/sampling/cleaning will happen on a single day, the form should specify the length of time for which consent to access the residence is given (see last para., page 1), or at least make clear that the tenant/owner understands the various steps entailed and that it will take a number of days to complete the various processes.

Page 2, 3rd paragraph, 2nd line - change "and fragile objects" to "and fragile and valuable objects".

Everything else in the Form seems ok. The proposed addendum to the contract, responding to EPA's request for changes to the contract, also seems ok.

Please call if you'd like to discuss.

Gilsenan, Michael

From: [REDACTED] PII
Sent: Wednesday, July 03, 2002 1:00 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Cc: Morrowy@assembly.state.ny.us; linda.rosenthal@mail.house.gov; SSCANGA1@aol.com; RONBASILE@EARTHLINK.NET; NAT@MUSICVALVE.COM; MOORE@AMNH.ORG; MOHR@RCN.COM; mikmul@EARTHLINK.NET; MarkScherzerEsq@aol.com; HicksWheel@aol.com; GP1@NYU.EDU; Epwilliamson@aol.com; Daviesturkana@aol.com; MDierickx@aol.com; egesmer@gulges.com; B2ENTER@aol.com; MPerillo@aol.com; artinsight@EARTHLINK.NET; davesscuba@aol.com; patmcedar@aol.com; mail@artbaseinc.com; kathleencedar@EARTHLINK.NET; eserrapede@aol.com; dianneblell@EARTHLINK.NET
Subject: 125 Cedar interiors cleanup scope of work

Michael Gilsenan--

Thank you for your progress report of today.

It is my understanding that we are in the 7th inning of the acquisition process for the writers of the site specific scope. Additional information has been requested and subsequently provided to the scope writers to aid them with their proposals.

It is anticipated that they will be submitting their proposals in the very near future and hopefully, their contract will be awarded no later than Monday, July 8.

New item: There will be two scope writers, one to address asbestos containing material (ACM) and another to address heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems (HVAC).

As previously discussed and agreed to, additional issues such as metals will be incorporated into the site specific scope at a later date with the input of the EPA and the tenants association.

You do not require any thing from the tenants association at this time.

Again, thank you for efforts in moving this along.

[REDACTED] PII
 125 Cedar Street tenants Association

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Basso.Ray@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Wednesday, July 03, 2002 3:52 PM
To: Smyth, Virginia; Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: meeting with EPA on NYC contract procedures

Hi Virginia, I am writing you this message to coordinate a meeting with you and our folks that will be managing the cleanup and monitoring contracts to get a better understanding of the processes and procedures involved in administering NYC contracts. Bob and Mike agreed with Kathy Callahan that you would be able to help us gain these necessary insights. Please call me either Friday or next week so we could discuss further and arrange a mutually convenient time and place. Thanks.