

Gilsenan, Michael

From: Radhakrishnan, Krish [DEPNET1/DEPGPO2/KrishR]
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2002 3:44 PM
To: Gilsenan, Michael
Subject: FW: 3/27/02

-----Original Message-----

From: Theodorellys, Penny
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2002 1:13 PM
To: Radhakrishnan, Krish
Subject: 3/27/02



200RectorPl.doc

**200 Rector Place
Liberty Court Condominium**

- 3/27/02 Note from Rose Marabetti
[PII] faxed reports to OEM identifying concerns regarding fibrous glass.
- 3/26/02 Fax to Hotline from [PII]
JLC Environmental Consultants PLM analytical results for Liberty Court Condominium, 200 Rector Place.
PLM analytical result for samples from complainant's apartment. Result indicates the sample was not asbestos containing material (ACM). Handwritten notes indicate reanalysis with TEM found chrysotile present. The sample was analyzed on 10/9/01. TEM bulk sample results from SciLab indicated chrysotile was present but sample was insufficient to quantify (12/4/01).
PLM bulk sampling report for North Atlantic Laboratories indicated four (4) samples collected from complainant's apartment were not ACM. Handwritten comments and circled fibrous glass results. Samples were analyzed 12/4/01.
November 1, 2001 notice from Liberty Court indicating scheduled cleaning of exhaust vents in all B Line Apartments.
TEM result for sample collected from complainant's apartment indicated sample was not ACM. Sample was analyzed on 12/16/01. Chrysotile <1.0 was circled.
Forward of e-mail regarding health effects from fibrous glass.
PLM bulk sample results for apartment 17J, includes handwritten notes. Samples were analyzed on 2/18/02 and were found to not be ACM.
Printout of e-mails regarding environmental sampling and health effects.
- 3/26/02 Fax to Hotline from [PII]
JLC Environmental Consultants PLM analytical results for Liberty Court Condominium, 200 Rector Place.
Twelve (12) bulk samples were collected from various roof locations. Three (3) samples were found to asbestos containing material (ACM). The samples were received and analyzed by the laboratory on 11/15/01.
- 3/26/02 Fax to [PII] from Hotline
EPA Press Release and Mayor's Announcement of new DEP Commissioner
- 3/26/02 Hotline Record
[PII] requested fax number for OEM to forward serious documents and reported dissatisfaction with DEP/DOH response.
- 3/15/02 Complaint 421050

Building management performed general and professional clean ups of the building and has had environmental sampling performed. Complainant was requested to forward copies of the conflicting testing to DEP for review.

- 3/15/02 Hansen Complaint 421050 Entered
 [PII] indicated the building manager has mismanaged clean up activities and has found conflicting results in independent testing.
- 3/12/02 ACP Inspection of Apartment # [PII]
 The apartment was inspected with [PII] who was concerned about dust accumulation on the ceiling. The inspection revealed that there was no WTC debris on the ceiling. She was also concerned about the air being tested. She was informed that it had to be done privately.
- 3/14/02 Hotline Record
 [PII] reported concerns regarding inconsistent environmental sampling results and dissatisfaction with DEP/DOH actions.
- 3/6/02 Complaint 417313 Received
 Resident had Apartment [PII] professionally cleaned twice. List of air monitors forwarded.
- 2/28/02 Complaint 415139 Received
 List of air monitors
- 2/26/02 Dated Cover Letter from Milford Management
 Outline of building activities and sampling results (bulk and air)
- 2/25/02 ACP inspection of Apartment [PII]
 [PII] wore a dust mask during inspection. She stated four carcinogens were in the air, one of which was asbestos. However, based on testing of her apartment for asbestos, none was found. Inspection of her apartment revealed that there was no visible WTC debris. The apartment was reported as "extremely untidy with clothes, paper, and boxes scattered everywhere". Pieces of floorboards were missing. She was dissatisfied with the policies of various agencies. She addressed issues regarding fiberglass as a carcinogen and the need for it to be cleaned up. Based on site observations and complainant's sample results, no further action taken.
- 2/23/02 DEP sent a second notice requesting copies of environmental hazard assessments and summaries of clean-up activities.
- 2/22/02 Complaint 413154 Received
 Incorrect telephone number, unable to reach caller. Subsequently, ACP was able to perform inspection.

2/21/02 ACP attempted inspection of Apartment PII
 Visited the Building twice PII was not at home even though she had an appointment. The second visit the doorman said she was home but was not answering. In addition, the phone number came on as a fax machine.

2/21/02 Complaint 413027 received

2/20/02 Complaint 412235 received

2/19/02 Complaint number 411791 received.
 Complainant was concerned about dust and fibrous glass.
 An appointment was scheduled for inspection. Unable to perform the inspection (resident was not available and had left telephone number for doorman rather than residence)

2/15/02 ACP Inspection of Apartment PII
 Inspection revealed that there was no visible WTC.

2/14/02 Complaint 410716 received from apartment PII resident
 List of air monitoring firms faxed, inspection scheduled.

2/12/02 DEP sent letter requesting copies of environmental hazard assessments and a summary of clean up activities.

2/11/02 Complaint 409645 Received
 Request for air test, Apt PII and PII

2/8/02 DEP sent a letter to Milford Management indicating that visible accumulations of debris were observed on the roof and setbacks, the need to assess the material, and to perform appropriate clean-up.

1/28/02 DEP performed an exterior survey of the building and visible debris on the roof and 9th floor setback

3/06/2002 – PII – Inspection revealed that there was no visible WTC debris in the apartment. PII wanted to have the air in the apartment tested. She was faxed a copy of various air monitoring contractors.