

chemistry



BROUGHT TO YOU BY THE SCIENTISTS OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

PROFESSIONALS	ACS MEMBERS	TEACHERS & STUDENTS	POLICY MAKERS
ENTHUSIASTS			

[QUICK FIND >](#)

[Meetings](#)
[Publications](#)
[Careers and Jobs](#)
[Chemical Abstracts \(CAS\)](#)
[Online Store](#)
[What's New](#)

[JOIN ACS NOW](#)

ACS Membership Benefits

[News](#)
[Stocks](#)
[Companies](#)
[People](#)
[Email News](#)
[Help](#)

World Trade Center dust analysis offers good news for New Yorkers

*Source: American Chemical Society News Service**Publication date: 2002-12-23*

The rubble has been cleared from the World Trade Center site, but questions still loom about the long-term health effects on people who survived the terrorist attacks. A new analysis of dust samples taken from around Manhattan in the days following Sept. 11, 2001, can offer some much-needed good news.

A team of researchers tested debris from the collapsed towers for toxic organic chemicals and found that the potential risk of exposure from inhaling such compounds was lower than expected. The findings are scheduled to appear in the Feb. 1 print edition of *Environmental Science & Technology*, a peer-reviewed journal of the American Chemical Society, the world's largest scientific society. The article was initially published Dec. 18 on the journal's Web site.

The scientists were looking specifically for persistent organic pollutants — highly toxic compounds that pose a special problem because they endure in the environment and can be toxic to humans and wildlife. They found no evidence of high levels of two particular POPs: pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls, which were used in hundreds of industrial and commercial applications until their production was banned in 1977.

The team did, however, estimate that the dust covering lower Manhattan contained between 100-1000 tons of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons — a group of compounds containing some that are classified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency as probable human carcinogens. But, while the amount of PAHs was high, the dust particles to which the chemicals stuck were large enough to stay out of a person's lungs, according to Paul Liroy, Ph.D., associate director of the Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences Institute in Piscataway, N.J., and an author of the study.

"The fact that the particles were primarily above 10 micrometers in diameter would mean that the deposition was in the upper airways of the respiratory system and readily cleared than fine particles, which would deposit deeper in the lung," Liroy says. "That means, in terms of potential lifetime exposures, we're probably going to be lucky in that these may not be exposures of significant health risk."

Immediately after the attacks, the majority of people focused their attention on asbestos, Liroy says. But he and his colleagues felt the only way to understand the situation was to determine everything people could possibly have been exposed to. In contrast to what we normally do every day in environmental sciences, this was a catastrophic event," Liroy says. "We had no clue what was in there and what size